

Neighborhood Policing and Partnerships

Thames Valley Police (TVP) had a meeting in late 2016 regarding the state of affairs at the venue and what could be done to alleviate the issues. At the onset of the meeting it was tabled that the meeting was about the problems experienced between 1st January 2014 and 31st October 2016 and not the forthcoming applications in respect of the venue.

The issues that needed to be highlighted were done by way of a Powerpoint Presentation in order that those attending could be left in no doubt as to what was discussed. The presentation describes the types of crimes and how they were going to be grouped for the benefit of those attending.

The assembled were advised that so far as compliance with the licence was concerned neither Reading Borough Council (RBC) nor TVP had any issues with that. However, the owners and their solicitor were left in no doubt as to the level of responsibility the venue contributed to violent crime in the Night Time Economy (NTE).

Figures were displayed covering the premises and four other venues in the town centre and it was shown that the Purple Turtle was between the dates in question were responsible for 50.60% of serious crime in the NTC in Reading.

A similar exercise has been undertaken to establish if there has been any down turn in this contribution, two of the venues are different ones from the last comparison undertaken.

There are two spreadsheets attached to this evidence depicting The Combined NICHE and Command & Control figures for the years 2016 and 2017 to date. (It must be noted that all the incidents in both of these systems which have been looked at if there was the slightest doubt that it was not connected to the venue it has been discounted).

Looking at the NICHE and Command & Control figures and taking them into consideration between the two years there has been a reduction of 28.72% in the number of combined incidents attributed to the premises. **Please see Appendix A.**

Looking at the NICHE only figures and taking them into consideration between the two years there has been a reduction of 40.81% in the number of combined incidents attributed to the premises. **Please see Appendix B.**

These figures have been looked at in relation to the time at which the incidents have occurred and they have been broken down into half hour time blocks starting at midnight until 05.00 a.m. It can be seen from the graph that the majority of the incidents occur between 01.30 a.m. and 03.30 a.m. where the figures at the peaks indicate the number of incidents that have occurred during the half hour period. In addition TVP has its own telephone call monitoring system which tracks data on all calls it receives and the premises, because of the volume of calls made concerning the venue, has its own entry in the system. A screenshot of a period in November and December 2016 shows that the average time spent on a call concerning the venue takes on average 63.4 minutes to complete. This does not show the additional officer time in taking statements, interviewing suspects and preparing and attending court. **Please see Appendix C.**

Neighborhood Policing and Partnerships

Again the day on which the incidents have occurred has been looked at over the period. The graph demonstrates that the main issues occur during the periods Friday into Saturday and Saturday into Sunday where 59% of the incidents have taken place. **Please see Appendix D.**

Looking at the figures it must be noted that in **2016** the way in which the crimes were reported has changed in so much as when the Public Enquiry Centre (PEC) received a call from a Member of the Public (MOP) they made the judgement as to whether to record it as a crime and then send it where it had to go.

Nowadays, an Officer is required to attend the location of the incident and it is their decision as to whether it is a crime which required to be put on the NICHE Crime Recording System or not as the case may be. This has meant a large reduction of unnecessary crimes recorded which is why there is a lower rate of figures between the two years.

However, this has not had an impact on the contribution the venue has made to the NTE. In order to establish exactly to what degree the premises affects crime and disorder in the Town Centre TVP have conducted a further comparison between it and four other venues. The figures for the venues has been put onto five spreadsheets and these are as follows:

The Purple Turtle is at **Appendix E.**

Premises 1 is at **Appendix F.**

Premises 2 is at **Appendix G.**

Premises 3 is at **Appendix H.**

Premises 4 is at **Appendix I.**

The comparison has been totalled and it can be seen from the Comparison Outcome spreadsheet that the Purple Turtle is responsible for just more than 56% of the serious crime and disorder in the Town Centre. This is a similar percentage to the last comparison demonstrating that little has been done to assist in the reduction of serious crime and disorder associated with the venue.

Please see Appendix J.

It must be noted that the behaviours taken by the door staff, and in some cases the management, at the venue have a lot to be desired in that their behaviour has led to a number of incidents that calls into question their professionalism. Also evidence has come to light that they have deliberately let offenders go who should have been detained for the Police, incidents have not been recorded in the door book which a breach of Condition 1 of Annex 2 attached to the Premises Licence, and more importantly incidents have not been reported to the Police. These practices ponders the question as to how many have gone unrecorded or unreported.

Neighborhood Policing and Partnerships

A GEN 40 report dated **2nd February 2015** was received from PC 5840 Kennedy concerning an unprovoked attack resulting on a male resulting in a split to the eyebrow. The door staff ejected the suspect. Then a male came out of the venue covered in blood and was approached by officers who were stood across from the premises and tried to talk to him about what happened. On seeing this the manager came across to the officers and pointed out the suspect who was lurking nearby.

Please see Appendix K.

A GEN 40 report dated **14th June 2016** was received from 5787 PC Wheeler concerning the attitude and behaviour of the duty manager following the door staff ejecting some males who were causing issues within the venue. The manager swore at the door team and was abusive to members of the public. The officer recounts that "the management decisions at the venue appear to be based on maintaining customer numbers and profit and not the licensing objectives at the forefront of their decisions". **Please see Appendix L.**

A GEN 40 report dated **11th July 2015** was received from PC 208 Clarkson concerning the actions of a member of the door staff who had ejected a male for being too drunk. After the male was ejected they began goading the male saying "**COME ON THEN WHAT ARE YOU GONNA DO**" as well as provoking and swearing at him. **Please see Appendix M.**

A GEN 40 report dated **8th August 2015** was received from PC 6557 Sutton concerning the way in which a member of the door staff acted in removing a male from the premises and a subsequent confrontation with the officer concerned. His actions were so poor that he was not seen on the door for the remainder of the evening. **Please see Appendix N.**

A GEN 40 report dated **24th October 2015** a report was received from PS 5325 Davis concerning the lack of attention to the responsibility for the security of the venue by the door staff. It advises that the main entrance of the venue was left unattended which permitted individuals to enter the place unchecked. The report also advises that drunk individuals were pushed out into the street where they were left and had to be attended to by Police Officers. **Please see appendix O.**

A GEN 40 report dated **21st November 2015** was received from PC 1341 Ward concerning a stabbing in the venue which when reported to the door staff was dismissed and turned to other things. The door staff should have taken the appropriate action to establish the victim's condition and deal with it as they had been trained to do and not dismiss it because there was something else going on. This incident was not reported to the Police and the Crime Log advises that it was not recorded in the door book, a breach of Condition 1 of Annex 2 attached to the Premises Licence. **Please see Appendix P.**

Neighborhood Policing and Partnerships

A GEN 40 report dated **23rd December 2015** was received from PC 7310 Sham-Dhothar concerning an incident where a handbag was picked up from a table belonging to the victim's friend and had confronted the suspect who assaulted him. The door staff permitted the suspect to leave without taking any details. The witness asked the manager to call the police who responded by offering the group a free bottle of wine which was refused. The manager then asked the door staff to kick the victim and witnesses out of the venue. **Please see Appendix Q.**

A GEN 40 report dated **9th January 2016** was received concerning a sexual assault. The victim's husband remonstrates with the suspect who throws a punch at him. The suspect was escorted out of the rear of the venue and the victims' were shown out of the front where they contacted and reported the incident to the Police. **Please see Appendix R.**

A GEN 40 report dated **26th February 2016** was received from PC 1064 Clarke concerning a victim who sees a drug deal taking place inside the earlier in the evening. He leaves the venue and sees the same male again and challenges him about what he has seen. The suspect then assaults the victim as a result of this. It is reported that the door staff have witnessed this and did not intervene but returned into the venue instead. The Officer enters the venue and speaks to a manager who was willing to copy the footage from the front camera but not the CCTV from the stairs. This was due to an edict from a senior manager contrary to Condition 8 of the Annex 2 attached to the Premises Licence. **Please see Appendix S.**

A NICHE report dated **7th April 2016** was received where a victim has been assaulted by an unknown male who had tried to chat up her friend. A comment was made by the suspect to the victim who asked her friend to collect her things in order to leave. The suspect has then punched the victim to the face. The report advises that the door staff has witnessed this and ejected the offender and is caught on CCTV. It also advises that the OIC for the offence has spoken to the door staff who have denied seeing the offence. **Please see Appendix T.**

A NICHE incident report dated **18th September 2016** was received concerning an unprovoked assault by an individual connected with another assault earlier in the night. The victim was too intoxicated for the officers to obtain a witness statement. An officer has attended the premises and has established that there is no record of this assault in the Door book a breach of Condition 1 to Annex 2 attached to the Premises Licence. **Please see Appendix U.**

A GEN 40 report dated **17th December 2016** a report was received from PC 1590 Child concerning the action of a member of the door staff who becoming angry after he was assaulted by a male who was ejected and had to be restrained by his colleagues. The incident was not reported to the Police but who were informed by the Town CCTV system operators. **Please see Appendix V.**

Neighborhood Policing and Partnerships

An email dated **13th January 2017** from PC 5787 Wheeler concerning the daughter of an officer who was in the venue and witnessed an assault. She witnessed an aggressive male who was being restrained on the floor and another male holding his head who had been bottled by the suspect, this being a serious offence. The door staff led the suspect to the door and sent him on his way. The incident was not reported to the Police. **Please see Appendix W.**

A report dated **12th February 2017** an email was received from PC 5787 Wheeler concerning an incident on **17th January 2017** where a male was assaulted where he received an Assault Occasional Actual Bodily Harm (AOABH), in short, a broken jaw. The suspects, both known doormen, then walk away. The aggrieved approaches the head doorman at the premises who takes little or no notice of him. **Please see Appendix X.**

A NICHE report **11th March 2017** from concerning an incident on where a knife was seen being brandished in the venue where witness have seen a fight kicking off after a verbal argument. A male pull a large kitchen knife from his waistband & threaten the other male. He then put it away. The male was later identified by ET and arrested and remanded Attached also is a witness statement dated **11th March 2017** from PC 6663 Liddicott concerning the interview with the suspect. **Please see Appendix Y.**

A NICHE report dated **7th May 2017** the doorstaff where a male is knocked unconscious and this may have been witnessed by them. The CCTV does not show the assault but does capture the commotion and the fallout and the male being ejected instead of being detained for the Police. **Please see Appendix Z.**

A report dated **20th May 2017** where there is evidence that a serious incident was not recorded in the Door Book a breach of Condition 1 of Annex 2 attached to the Premises Licence and the door staff have denied any knowledge. **Please see Appendix A1.**

A NICHE report dated **5 July 2017** advises that there was an assault by short male who has assaulted another male. The doorstaff have dragged a male way from the fracas and then let him make off. **Please see Appendix B1.**

On **9th July 2017** PC 2611 Frost was in the vicinity of the venue when he witnessed a young male being ejected from the premises by a short stocky member of the door staff (verbal report). They were shouting at each other. The doorman was goading the male shouting "**COME ON THEN IF YOU THINK YOU ARE HARD ENOUGH**".

Neighborhood Policing and Partnerships

Looking at **Appendices L to B1** it can be concluded that the door staff have a lot to be responsible for when dealing with the public and the recording of incidents. These incidents are the only ones that have been brought to light in one way or another whilst looking through the history of incidents recorded against the premises which have a direct bearing on the issues of Crime and Disorder and Public Safety. **Each time an incident is not recorded in the Door book is a contravention of Condition 1 of Annex 2 attached to the Premises Licence.**

A good proportion of the incidents recorded concern the levels of intoxication that have been permitted. Some of the reports submitted in this submission indicate that witness statements from the victims of violence were so highly intoxicated that these statements were not able to be taken until the individual had sobered up.

The question has to be asked is the Town going to have to sustain this level of contribution of incidents connected with the venue. The comparison has demonstrated that this site is by far a way ahead of the other four venues looked at. It seems that there is an expectation in the management of the venue is that they can continue in the same vein and ask for even more by way of capacity, 37%. Does this mean that, potentially, the level of Crime and Disorder will rise by the same figure?

TVP also have an issue with the proposed Condition 28 a last entry policy of 01.30 a.m. should mean just that.

TVP are making this representation in support of the Licensing Objectives

Neighborhood Policing and Partnerships

APPENDICES

- Appendix A A list of the Combined NICHE Command & Control figures for 2016 and 2017 attributed to the Purple Turtle only.
- Appendix B A list of the Combined NICHE figures for 2016 and 2017 attributed to the Purple Turtle only.
- Appendix C A graph depicting the levels in crime figures shown in half hour time periods. In addition the screenshot of the TVP telephone call system recording calls spent involving the premises.
- Appendix D A graph depicting the days on which crime have been committed.
- Appendix E The figures used concerning the Purple Turtle only in a comparison with four other venues.
- Appendix F The figures used concerning Premises One only in a comparison with four other venues.
- Appendix G The figures used concerning Premises Two only in a comparison with four other venues.
- Appendix H The figures used concerning Premises Three only in a comparison with four other venues.
- Appendix I The figures used concerning Premises Four only in a comparison with four other venues.
- Appendix J A comparison list of figures for the Purple Turtle and the four other venues used in a comparison complete with a graph.
- Appendix K A GEN 40 report dated 11th February 2015 concerning an assault which was reported to the Police who were stationed across from the venue.
- Appendix L A GEN 40 report dated 14th June 2015 concerning the poor performance of one of the managers at the venue.
- Appendix M A GEN 40 report dated 11th July 2015 concerning the poor performance of the door staff at the venue.
- Appendix N A GEN 40 report dated 8th August 2015 concerning the poor performance of the door staff at the venue.
- Appendix O A GEN 40 report dated 24th October 2015 concerning the door staff leaving the front door of the venue unsupervised.


Neighborhood Policing and Partnerships

- Appendix P A GEN 40 report dated 21st November 2015 concerning a stabbing inside the venue.
- Appendix Q A GEN 40 report dated 23rd December 2015 concerning an assault and the action of the manager with the witnesses.
- Appendix R A GEN 40 report dated 9th January 2016 concerning an assault following a Sexual Assault where the door staff let the suspect go and not reporting the incident.
- Appendix S A GEN 40 report dated 26th February 2016 concerning a breach of Condition 8 of Annex 2 of the Premises Licence following a lack of provision of CCTV imagery.
- Appendix T A NICHE report dated 7th April 2016 concerning an assault following an assault where the door staff let the suspect go and not reporting the incident.
- Appendix U A NICHE report dated 18th September 2016 concerning an assault after which it was not recorded in the door book a breach of Condition 1 of Annex 2 to the Premises Licence.
- Appendix V A GEN 40 report dated 17th December 2016 concerning the poor performance of one of the door staff.
- Appendix W A copy of an email dated 13th January 2017 concerning an assault and the door staff letting the suspect go and not reporting the incident.
- Appendix X A copy of an email dated 11th February 2017 concerning an assault after which it was not recorded in the door book a breach of Condition 1 of Annex 2 to the Premises Licence.
- Appendix Y A NICHE report dated 11th March 2016 concerning the brandishing of a knife inside the venue and a copy of a witness statement from the officer in charge after he interviewed the suspect.
- Appendix Z A NICHE report dated 7th May 2016 concerning an assault following an assault where the door staff let the suspect go and not reporting the incident.
- Appendix A1 A NICHE report dated 7th April 2016 concerning an assault after which it was not recorded in the door book a breach of Condition 1 of Annex 2 to the Premises Licence.
- Appendix B1 A NICHE report dated 5th July 2016 concerning an assault after which the door staff allowed the suspect to make off.

Combined NICHE and Command & Control (Purple Turtle Only)

Appendix A


	2016	2017		
ABH	18	3	ABH	21
Assault	18	23	Assault	41
Assault Police	4		Assault Police	4
Criminal Damage	4	1	Criminal Damage	5
D&D	8	1	D&D	9
Disorder	27	21	Disorder	48
Drugs	1	1	Drugs	2
Fight	2	6	Fight	8
GBH		1	GBH	1
High Intoxication	6	3	High Intoxication	9
Intoxication	4	4	Intoxication	8
RAPOO		1	RAPOO	1
Sexual Assault	2	1	Sexual Assault	3
Weapons		1	Weapons	1
Totals	94	67	-28.72%	161
Combined Total	161			



NICHE Occurrences Only Purple Turtle Only

Appendix B


	2016	2017		
ABH	17	3	ABH	20
Assault	18	21	Assault	39
Assault Police	4		Assault Police	4
Criminal Damage	2	1	Criminal Damage	3
D&D	5		D&D	5
Drugs	1		Drugs	1
GBH		1	GBH	1
RAPOO		1	RAPOO	1
Sexual Assault	2	1	Sexual Assault	3
Weapons		1		1
Totals	49	29	-40.81%	78
Combined Total	78			



Crime Zones by Half Hour Blocks 2016 & 2017 (Purple Turtle Only)

Appendix C

00.00 - 00.30	9
00.30 - 01.00	8
01.00 - 01.30	14
01.30 - 02.00	24
02.00 - 02.30	24
02.30 - 03.00	13
03.00 - 03.30	24
03.30 - 04.00	6
04.00 - 04.30	12
04.30 - 05.00	4
05.00 +	18
	156



OSGR

471460173270 - PURPLE TURTLE 9 GUN STREET READING RG1 2JR

Total Incidents 100

URN & Date	Caller Name	Time	Opening Sub Classification	Address
1082 - 31-DEC-16	EQ302	20:55	SUS CRCS/INC/PERSON/VEHICLE	PURPLE TURTLE 9 GUN STREET READING RG1 2JR
86 - 27-DEC-16		01:39	DISORDER/DISTURBANCE/DISPUTE	PURPLE TURTLE 9 GUN STREET READING RG1 2JR
836 - 22-DEC-16		14:49	ABSENT PERSON	PURPLE TURTLE 9 GUN STREET READING RG1 2JR
78 - 19-DEC-16		02:01	DISORDER/DISTURBANCE/DISPUTE	PURPLE TURTLE 9 GUN STREET READING RG1 2JR
258 - 18-DEC-16		03:24	DISORDER/DISTURBANCE/DISPUTE	PURPLE TURTLE 9 GUN STREET READING RG1 2JR
31 - 05-DEC-16		01:06	DISORDER/DISTURBANCE/DISPUTE	PURPLE TURTLE 9 GUN STREET READING RG1 2JR
288 - 04-DEC-16		05:08	THEFT/OTHER	PURPLE TURTLE 9 GUN STREET READING RG1 2JR
69 - 29-NOV-16		02:09	DISORDER/DISTURBANCE/DISPUTE	PURPLE TURTLE 9 GUN STREET READING RG1 2JR
75 - 22-NOV-16		02:01	HOMOPHOBIC INCIDENT	PURPLE TURTLE 9 GUN STREET READING RG1 2JR

Select an incident above to view brief details

Immediate & Urgent incident resource commitment

Count: 69 Total commitment time (mins): 4,375


Avg minutes per incident: 63.4

Export to Excel

Opening Summary

Closing Summary

Monday	17
Tuesday	19
Wednesday	13
Thursday	14
Friday	18
Saturday	41
Sunday	32



Comparison with Four Other Venues 2016 & 2017

Appendix E

2016		2017	
Total	Occurrence	Total	Occurrence
Date	Type	Date	Type
Time		Time	
1	43160159154	1	43170076806
11/06/2016	ABH	16/03/2017	ABH
00.00		02.48	
1	43160159171	1	43170097241
11/06/2014	ABH	04/04/2017	ABH
01.45		04.13	
1	43160159174	1	43140207420
11/06/2016	ABH	14/07/2017	ABH
00.00		00.00	
1	43160173680	3	
25/06/2016	ABH		
02.25			
1	43160173697		
25/06/2016	ABH		
02.25			
1	43160195934	1	43170090890
17/07/2016	ABH	29/03/2017	Assault
01.30		01.41	
1	43160202408	1	43170015323
23/07/2016	ABH	17/01/2017	Assault
03.40		05.20	
1	43160202411	1	43170015322
23/07/2016	ABH	17/01/2017	Assault
04.11		05.20	
1	43160202414	1	43170064688
23/07/2016	ABH	05/03/2017	Assault
03.40		02.31	
1	43160261326	1	43170076086
20/09/2016	ABH	16/03/2017	Assault
02.00		02.48	
1	43160284378	1	43170085868
12/10/2016	ABH	24/03/2017	Assault
03.23		01.32	
1	43160325306	1	43170087083
20/11/2016	ABH	25/03/2017	Assault
01.45		04.01	
1	43160358710	1	43170095503
22/12/2016	ABH	02/04/2017	Assault
03.00		03.40	
1	43160188126	1	43170059908
09/07/2016	ABH	02/04/2017	Assault
04.17		03.49	
1	43160195934	1	43170097240
17/07/2016	ABH	04/04/2017	Assault
01.30		04.13	
1	43160195958	1	43170115554
17/07/2016	ABH	22/04/2017	Assault
01.30		03.15	
1	43160261326	1	43170115554
20/09/2016	ABH	22/04/2017	Assault
02.00		00.01	
17		1	43170131387
		07/05/2017	Assault
		22.00	
1	43160159154	1	43170144277
11/06/2016	Assault	18/05/2017	Assault
02.05		23.25	
1	43160001438	1	43170145650
02/01/2016	Assault	20/05/2017	Assault
01.15		02.30	
1	43160007562	1	43170169555
09/01/2016	Assault	11/06/2017	Assault
03.23		02.00	
1	46130036571	1	43170196616
06/02/2016	Assault	05/07/2017	Assault
03.00		01.15	
1	43160056282	1	43170201132
26/02/2016	Assault	08/07/2017	Assault
03.30		01.45	
1	43160095134	1	43170196630
07/04/2016	Assault	05/07/2017	Assault
01.00		01.45	
1	43160189550	1	43170207692
11/07/2016	Assault	14/07/2017	Assault
01.38		00.00	
1	43160190622	21	43170244141
12/07/2016	Assault	17/08/2017	Assault
03.22		00.00	
1	43160208400		
29/07/2016	Assault		
04.41			
1	43160208401	1	43170129997
29/07/2016	Assault	05/05/2017	Criminal Damage
01.45		02.08	
1	43160246441	1	
06/09/2016	Assault		
02.00			
1	43160246444	1	
06/09/2016	Assault		
01.56			
1	43160259415	1	43170201132
18/09/2016	Assault	08/07/2017	GBH
04.30		02.00	
1	43160284378	1	
12/10/2016	Assault		
03.23			
1	43160323953	1	
18/11/2016	Assault		
01.00			
1	43160323306	1	43170207350
20/11/2016	Assault	14/07/2017	RAPOO
01.56		00.00	
1	43160352045	1	
15/12/2016	Assault		
01.16			
1	43160007563	1	43170232787
09/01/2016	Assault	06/08/2017	Sexual Assault
03.23		03.18	

1	43160070207	11/03/2016	Assault Police	00.00				
1	43160104087	16/04/2016	Assault Police	03.05				
1	43160104086	16/04/2016	Assault Police	03.05				
1	43160362168	27/12/2016	Assault Police	01.38				
4								
1	43160295367	22/10/2016	Criminal Damage	22.55				
1	43160340582	05/12/2016	Criminal Damage	01.20				
2								
1	43160021200	23/01/2016	D&D	00.01				
1	43160070207	11/03/2016	D&D	00.00				
1	43160088448	31/03/2016	D&D	02.00				
1	43160195898	17/07/2016	D&D	01.50				
1	43160253571	12/09/2016	D&D	19.20				
5								
1	43160365428	31/12/2016	Drugs	00.45				
1								
1	43160178237	29/06/2016	Sexual Assault	02.37				
1	43160188016	09/07/2016	Sexual Assault	01.00				
2								

1

43170071904

11/03/2017 Weapons

00.00

Total	Date	URN	Incident Type	Time	Total	Date	URN	Incident Type	Time
1	17/04/2016	134	Assault	01.50	1	25/01/2017	1551	Assault	22.09
1					1	20/08/2017	275	Assault	03.03
2					2				
1	02/08/2016	82	Criminal Damage	02.31	1	30/06/2017	81	D&D	01.32
1	20/11/2016	56	Criminal Damage	00.37	1				
1	10/07/2016	253	D&D	03.10	1	19/01/2017	91	Disorder	02.56
1	01/09/2016	133	D&D	03.34	1	25/01/2017	1551	Disorder	22.09
1	28/10/2016	1887	D&D	23.46	1	25/02/2017	1510	Disorder	21.53
3					1	05/03/2017	113	Disorder	01.25
					1	13/03/2017	73	Disorder	02.43
					1	19/03/2017	200	Disorder	03.24
1	24/02/2016	73	Disorder	02.32	1	02/04/2017	135	Disorder	02.06
1	05/03/2016	233	Disorder	04.24	1	03/04/2017	1147	Disorder	17.14
1	31/03/2016	72	Disorder	01.56	1	10/04/2017	30	Disorder	00.38
1	17/04/2016	134	Disorder	01.50	1	11/05/2017	78	Disorder	02.06
1	17/04/2016	213	Disorder	03.17	1	13/05/2017	161	Disorder	02.15
1	27/04/2016	52	Disorder	01.15	1	21/05/2017	104	Disorder	01.10
1	04/06/2016	292	Disorder	05.02	1	30/05/2017	117	Disorder	02.38
1	11/06/2016	166	Disorder	01.56	1	05/06/2017	98	Disorder	02.15
1	27/06/2016	1695	Disorder	23.32	1	07/06/2017	1845	Disorder	23.47
1	02/07/2016	254	Disorder	04.14	1	12/06/2017	44	Disorder	00.52
1	10/07/2016	50	Disorder	00.26	1	14/06/2017	115	Disorder	01.12
1	02/08/2016	82	Disorder	02.31	1	16/06/2017	46	Disorder	00.56
1	21/08/2016	45	Disorder	00.37	1	09/07/2017	144	Disorder	01.02
1	22/08/2016	28	Disorder	00.29	1	29/07/2017	240	Disorder	03.23
1	23/08/2016	46	Disorder	00.38	1	31/07/2017	103	Disorder	03.14
1	27/08/2016	145	Disorder	02.00	21				
1	11/09/2016	308	Disorder	04.21					
1	12/09/2016	50	Disorder	01.28	1	01/03/2017	81	Drugs	02.23
1	17/09/2016	197	Disorder	03.00	1				
1	15/10/2016	230	Disorder	04.10	1				
1	18/11/2016	69	Disorder	01.49	1	25/01/2017	1546	Fight	22.05
1	20/11/2016	226	Disorder	03.12	1	02/04/2017	135	Fight	02.06
1	22/11/2016	75	Disorder	02.01	1	29/04/2017	200	Fight	03.21
1	29/11/2016	69	Disorder	02.09	1	05/06/2017	98	Fight	02.15
1	04/12/2016	288	Disorder	05.08	1	16/07/2017	361	Fight	04.34
1	19/12/2016	78	Disorder	02.01	1	27/07/2017	89	Fight	01.54
1	31/12/2016	1082	Disorder	20.55	6				
27									

1	13/03/2016	153	Fight	02.22	1	19/01/2017	91	High Intoxication	02.57
1	19/11/2016	223	Fight	04.56	1	16/05/2017	106	High Intoxication	04.04
2					1	31/07/2017	103	High Intoxication	03.14
1	25/03/2016	84	High Intoxication	01.44	3				
1	26/07/2016	112	High Intoxication	03.02	1	13/03/2017	73	Intoxication	02.43
1	26/08/2016	157	High Intoxication	03.16	1	24/03/2017	89	Intoxication	02.36
1	12/10/2016	1734	High Intoxication	23.57	1	11/07/2017	57	Intoxication	01.17
1	15/10/2016	230	High Intoxication	04.10	1	05/08/2017	269	Intoxication	03.54
1	30/10/2016	325	High Intoxication	02.06	4				
6									
1	13/09/2016	73	Intoxication	01.40					
1	17/09/2016	5	Intoxication	00.02					
1	06/11/2016	77	Intoxication	00.52					
1	14/11/2016	81	Intoxication	04.05					
4									

Comparison with Four Other Venues 2016 & 2017

Appendix F

2016

2017

Total	Occurrence	Date	Type	Time	Total	Occurrence	Date	Type	Time
1	4316002223	24/01/2016	ABH	03.20	1	43170000180	01/01/2017	Assault	01.25
1					1	43170001941	03/01/2017	Assault	23.00
					1	43170027160	28/01/2017	Assault	02.26
1	43160022026	24/01/2016	Assault	03.20	1	43170048944	17/02/2017	Assault	21.48
1	43160267313	29/05/2016	Assault	00.30	4				
1	43160125158	08/05/2016	Assault	00.40					
1	43160210396	31/07/2016	Assault	01.30					
4									
1	43160125154	08/05/2016	Assault Police	00.40					
1									
1	43160180979	02/07/2016	D&D	02.10					
1									
1	43160125163	08/05/2016	POO	00.40	1	43170116351	23/04/2017	POO	00.50
1	43160332829	27/11/2016	POO	02.43	1				
2									
1	43160250106	09/09/2016	Sexual Assault	00.58	5				
1									

Total	Date	URN	Incident Type	Time	Total	Date	URN	Incident Type	Time
1	21/08/2016	74	D&D	00.51	1	17/03/2017	1656	Disorder	22.21
1					1	17/03/2017	1673	Disorder	22.32
					1	08/04/2017	163	Disorder	03.17
					4	21/05/2017	175	Disorder	02.09
1	28/02/2016	116	Disorder	01.40	1				
1	20/09/2016	67	Disorder	02.01					
1	24/09/2016	188	Disorder	03.04					
1	31/10/2016	59	Disorder	01.03	1	15/07/2017	142	D&D	01.30
1	13/11/2016	94	Disorder	01.22	1				
1	15/11/2016	30	Disorder	00.49					
6									
1	11/09/2016	248	Fight	03.19					
1									

Comparison Between Purple Turtle and Four Other Venues 2016 & 2017

Appendix G

2016				2017					
Total	Occurrence	Date	Type	Time	Total	Occurrence	Date	Type	Time
1	43160067783	08/03/2016	ABH	01.30	1	43170027970	29/01/2017	ABH	01.07
1	43160142378	25/05/2016	ABH	00.15	1	43170080072	19/03/2017	ABH	03.44
1	43160332128	26/11/2016	ABH	03.56	1	43170115514	22/04/2017	ABH	01.18
1	43160340152	04/12/2016	ABH	23.45	1	43170184679	24/06/2017	ABH	02.11
4					1	43170184613	24/06/2017	ABH	02.11
					5				
1	43160223503	13/08/2016	Affray	01.56	1	43170027992	29/01/2017	Assault	03.04
1					1	43170028893	30/01/2017	Assault	00.40
1	43160090707	08/03/2016	Assault	01.30	1	43170063839	04/03/2017	Assault	00.24
1	43160111875	24/04/2016	Assault	02.43	1	43170071523	11/03/2017	Assault	03.40
1	43160142378	24/05/2016	Assault	22.08	1	43170071525	11/03/2017	Assault	03.40
1	43160258605	17/08/2016	Assault	02.15	1	43170090536	28/03/2017	Assault	03.00
1	43160237716	27/08/2016	Assault	02.00	1	43170095497	02/04/2017	Assault	03.05
1	43160258938	17/09/2016	Assault	03.48	1	43170123032	29/04/2017	Assault	02.51
1	43160302502	29/10/2016	Assault	03.12	1	43170184679	24/06/2017	Assault	02.11
1	43160332128	26/11/2016	Assault	03.56	1	43170184683	24/06/2017	Assault	02.11
1	43160340152	04/12/2016	Assault	02.06	1	43170209522	16/07/2017	Assault	03.06
1	43160344942	08/12/2016	Assault	14.38					
1	43160361316	25/12/2016	Assault	02.11	11				
11									
1	43160057447	27/02/2016	Assault Police	00.41	1	43170005617	07/01/2017	Drugs	00.05
1					1	43170087862	26/03/2017	Drugs	03.45
1					2				
1	43160119300	01/05/2016	Criminal Damage	03.20					
1									
1	43160057361	27/02/2016	D&D	01.36					
1	43160111107	23/04/2016	D&D	03.12					
1	43160119300	01/05/2016	D&D	21.33					
1	43160324150	19/11/2016	D&D	00.49					
1	43160347427	11/12/2016	D&D	02.51					
1	43160361316	25/12/2016	D&D	02.11					
6									
1	43160043152	13/02/2016	Drugs	01.28					
1	43160131431	14/05/2016	Drugs	02.40					

Total	Date	URN	Incident Type	Time	Total	Date	URN	Incident Type	Time
1	04/02/2016	1109	Fight	17.42	1	01/04/2017	164	Disorder	02.42
1					1	01/04/2017	192	Disorder	03.14
					1	08/04/2017	82	Disorder	01.24
1	11/06/2016	1667	Disorder	21.47	3				
1	22/10/2016	147	Disorder	02.37					
2									
1	25/09/2016	201	High Intoxication	02.02					
1	04/12/2016	131	High Intoxication	01.42					
2									

Comparison with Four Other Venues 2016 & 2017

Appendix H

2016						2017					
Total	Occurrence	Date	Type	Time		Total	Occurrence	Date	Type	Time	
1	43160044374	14/02/2016	ABH	03.31		1	43170087706	13/05/2017	GBH	21.42	
1	43160111875	24/04/2016	ABH	02.30		1					
1	43160138525	21/05/2016	ABH	23.50							
3											
1	43160000127	01/01/2016	Assault Police	02.00							
1											
1	43160138531	01/01/2016	GBH	23.50							
1											
1	43160354684	18/12/2016	POO	01.45							
1											
1	43160174285	26/06/2016	RACA	00.30							
1											

Total	Date	URN	Incident Type	Time	Total	Date	URN	Incident Type	Time
1	08/02/2016	1743	Disorder	22.25	1	25/02/2017	11	Disorder	21.48
1	19/02/2016	1593	Disorder	22.24	1	11/03/2017	84	Disorder	01.10
1	26/03/2014	1457	Disorder	22.02	1	18/03/2017	1850	Disorder	23.30
1	03/04/2016	62	Disorder	00.43	1	19/03/2017	164	Disorder	02.13
1	02/06/2016	1430	Disorder	21.19	1	22/04/2017	1678	Disorder	22.49
1	11/06/2016	121	Disorder	01.21	1	22/04/2017	1696	Disorder	23.01
1	26/06/2016	67	Disorder	00.34	6				
1	18/07/2016	1799	Disorder	22.27					
1	29/06/2016	43	Disorder	00.39					
1	08/10/2016	123	Disorder	01.47					
1	11/10/2016	1585	Disorder	20.16					
1	15/10/2016	1740	Disorder	23.34					
1	16/10/2016	1331	Disorder	19.48					
1	18/10/2016	669	Disorder	23.00					
1	12/11/2016	1462	Disorder	21.31					
1	24/11/2016	1351	Disorder	19.21					
16									
1	05/11/2016	1578	Drugs	22.06					
1									
1	04/02/2016	1302	Fight	19.22					
1									
1	27/03/2016	94	Intoxication	02.40					
1									

Comparison with Four Other Venues 2016 & 2017

Appendix I

2016

2017


Total	Occurrence	Date	Type	Time	Total	Occurrence	Date	Type	Time
1	43170027960	29/01/2017	ABH	02.48	1	43160058158	28/02/2016	ABH	02.20
1	43160147717	31/05/2016	ABH	01.50	1				
1	43160253715	13/09/2016	ABH	02.16					
1	43160253719	13/09/2016	ABH	02.16	1	43170027986	29/01/2017	Assault	03.00
1	43160253720	13/09/2016	ABH	02.16	1	43170042196	11/02/2017	Assault	02.00
1	43160305198	01/11/2016	ABH	02.45	1	43170072464	12/03/2017	Assault	02.40
6					1	43170072467	12/03/2017	Assault	02.40
1					1	43170079938	18/03/2017	Assault	22.07
1	43160362174	27/12/2016	Attray	02.48	1	43170080127	19/03/2017	Assault	22.00
1					1	43170100860	06/04/2017	Assault	23.10
1	43160071443	12/03/2016	Assault	03.10	7				
1	43160113727	26/04/2016	Assault	02.44	1	43170016681	19/01/2017	Drugs	19.00
1	43160127897	10/05/2016	Assault	03.00	1	43170018736	19/01/2017	Drugs	23.54
1	43160159146	11/06/2016	Assault	01.58	2				
1	43160305200	01/11/2016	Assault	02.50					
1	43160307129	02/11/2016	Assault	03.00	1	43170094485	31/03/2017	GBH	00.00
1	43160340026	04/12/2016	Assault	02.24	1				
7									
1	43160259422	18/09/2016	D&D	00.27					
1									
1	43160152897	05/06/2016	POO	03.46					
1									

Total	Date	URN	Incident Type	Time	Total	Date	URN	Incident Type	Time
1	09/02/2016	88	Disorder	02.55	1	12/02/2017	241	Disorder	04.09
1	20/02/2016	162	Disorder	02.31	1	04/03/2017	156	Disorder	02.41
1	10/05/2016	82	Disorder	03.38	2				
1	04/06/2016	1798	Disorder	23.49					
1	28/06/2016	61	Disorder	00.58					
1	30/11/2016	41	Disorder	01.22					
1	23/12/2016	1519	Disorder	22.23					
7									
1	03/04/2016	240	High Intoxication	03.55					
1									

Comparison Outcome

Appendix J

	PT	Prem1	Prem2	Prem3	Prem4	Total
ABH	20	1	9	3	7	40
Affray			1		1	2
Assault	42	8	22		14	86
Assault Police	4	1	1	1		7
Criminal Damage	5		1			6
D&D	9	3	6		1	19
Disorder	48	10	5	22	9	94
Drugs	2		4	1	2	9
Fights	8	1	1	1		11
GBH	1			2	1	4
High Intoxication	9		2		1	12
Intoxication	8			1		9
POO		3		1	1	5
RAPOO	1			1		2
Sexual Assault	3	1				4
Weapons	1					1
	161	28	52	33	37	311



Submitting Officer		
Shoulder No/Name: PC 5840 Kennedy	Station: Reading	LPA: Reading

Incident References			
Premises Name/Location:	Purple Turtle, Gun Street, Reading		
Incident Date:	11/02/15	Incident Time:	02.30
Command & Control URN:	n/a	Crime Report(s):	43150008582
CCTV Seized?	No		
Sources of Information:	Officers on scene		

Nature of Incident – what happened?
Assault inside the basement part of the Purple Turtle. This was an unprovoked attack on a male dancing near the DJ. Offender- [REDACTED] punched victim [REDACTED] in the Right side of the face splitting Victims eyebrow and causing a bloody nose.

Premises Response – what part did staff play? How did they react/assist (include good/poor performance)?
Doorman inside premise ejected [REDACTED] onto Gun Street where he was allowed to walk away. 5 Officers were stood opposite this venue, but nothing was broadcast on the Townsafe and the officers were not called over. The Doorman who ejected him was noticed by officers to be very angry and was swearing and shouting to another doorman outside the front door. About 5 minutes later another male [REDACTED] came out of the venue covered in blood. Police went over and tried to talk to him about what had happened. The manager [REDACTED] came across and told officers who were struggling to get anything out of the Victim that he knew who had assaulted the Victim and pointed out [REDACTED] across the road who was still lurking near the Gurkha restaurant.

Police Response – what action was taken? Please identify the main officers who dealt with the incident.
Victim was taken to the First Stop Bus and given first aid, his eyebrow was glued back together. [REDACTED] was arrested and taken to EU where he later admitted the offence in I/V and was cautioned.

Persons Involved - to add more rows click into the final cell of this table				
Name	Date of Birth	Role	Action Taken	Ref No. <small>(e.g. Custody, PND etc)</small>
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	offender	arrested & cautioned	CREU15001072
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	victim	first aid given and statement taken	n/a

When complete, please forward to the Licensing Officer for the area (and anyone else as per local instructions)

Submitting Officer
Shoulder No/Name: PC 5787 Wheeler

Station: Reading

LPA: Reading

Incident References
Premises Name/Location: Purple Turtle, Gun Street, Reading, Berkshire

Incident Date: 14/06/15

Incident Time: 0205hrs

Command & Control URN:
Crime Report(s):
CCTV Seized? Requested from venue

Sources of Information: PC 5787 Wheeler & PS 3280 Pitman

Nature of Incident – what happened?

Males were ejected from the venue by the door team who door supervisors stated was causing problems on the staircase in the venue. Outside the venue [redacted] the on duty manager began arguing with friends of the male that had been ejected and shouted and swore at them telling them to "Fucking get back in". [redacted] was acting in an unprofessional manner and eventually allowed the male back in because he was part of the DJ team.

[redacted] then got into an argument with another male and this ended in him violently pushing him to the ground, stating that it was in self defence.

Premises Response – what part did staff play? How did they react/assist (include good/poor performance)?

[redacted] intimated that his decision process was based on the fact the ejected male was friends or part of the DJ team and overruled the door team and allowed him back into the venue.

[redacted] shouted and swore at the door team berating them for removing the male in the first place, and acted in an aggressive abusive manner throughout to members of the public and his door team.

The management decisions at the venue appear to be based on maintaining customer numbers and profit and not with the licensing objectives at the forefront of decisions.

Police Response – what action was taken? Please identify the main officers who dealt with the incident.

CCTV viewed on the night by Officers and has been requested for seizure.

I feel due to current issues and number of incidents continuing to occur after 0300 hrs may require further investigation and potential review to reduce the trading hours.

Persons Involved - to add more rows click into the final cell of this table

Name	Date of Birth	Role	Action Taken	Ref No. (e.g. Custody, PND etc)
[redacted]		Duty manager	Possible review	

When complete, please forward to the Licensing Officer for the area (and anyone else as per local instructions)



LICENSED PREMISES INCIDENT REPORT

Submitting Officer		
Shoulder No/Name: PC 208 CLARKSON	Station: EA READING	LPA: READING

Incident References			
Premises Name/Location:	The Purple Turtle		
Incident Date:	11/07/15	Incident Time:	12.30
Command & Control URN:	N/A	Crime Report(s):	N/A
CCTV Seized?	N/A		
Sources of Information:			

Nature of Incident – what happened?
A male got ejected from the premises for being too drunk. He was escorted off by two door staff who were professional and explained exactly why he was being ejected. The male then got slightly resistant and one of the door staff started goading the male. He was provoking him by swearing at him and saying things like, "COME ON THEN, WHAT YOU GONNA DO" Luckily I managed to calm the male down, however the behaviour of that particular member of door staff was completely unacceptable and could have potentially created more issues for us.

Premises Response – what part did staff play? How did they react/assist (include good/poor performance)?
The reaction to this male being ejected by one member of the door staff was poor and provoking. It was unnecessary and could have created issues for us as the police.

Police Response – what action was taken? Please identify the main officers who dealt with the incident.
Myself (PC 208 CLARKSON) and PC 309 MORBEY were present at the Purple Turtle at that particular time. We took the male away from the door staff to calm him down and prevent any offences occurring as the door staff were goading the male and being aggressive towards him which made him react aggressively back towards them.

Persons Involved - to add more rows click into the final cell of this table				
Name	Date of Birth	Role	Action Taken	Ref No. (e.g. Custody, PND etc)

When complete, please forward to the Licensing Officer for the area (and anyone else as per local instructions)

Shoulder No/Name: 6557 sutton

Station: Reading

LPA: Reading

Premises Name/Location: Purple Turtle, Gun Street

Incident Date: 08/058/2015

Incident Time: 0230

Command & Control URN: n/a

Crime Report(s): N/A

CCTV Seized? Yes - EA CP 6807/15

Sources of Information: Police & CCTV

Doorstaff removed a male [redacted] from the premise, he is initially compliant however it appears there is an exchange of words and doorman [redacted] was seen to grab [redacted] by the throat with both hands and push him causing [redacted] to headbutt and female stood outside, he also appears to punch him with the left hand. This was witnessed by officers PC 6817 and PC 7753 and is also clearly visible on CCTV and quite clearly excessive.

PC 7753 advsed [redacted] his force was excessive to which [redacted] have become argumentative and in PC 7753 face cuasing him to have to push [redacted] slightly away from him.

[redacted] was not on the door for the rest of the evening and apologies to PC 7753 the next night for his behaviour

i have secured the CCTV EA CP 6807/2015 and informed SGT PITMAN, INSP STACEY and PC WHEELER who i believe have already viewed the footage. This does not show PC 7753 interaction with [redacted] as this happened shortly after however there will be footage of this as it was outside the front door.
I have requested statements from PC 6817 and PC 7753 are completed documenting this incident.

Name	Date of Birth	Role	Action Taken	Ref No. (e.g. Custody, PND etc)

When complete, please forward to the Licensing Officer for the area (and anyone else as per local instructions)

Shoulder No/Name: PS 5325 DAVIS	Station: Reading	LPA: Reading
--	-------------------------	---------------------

Premises Name/Location:	The Purple Turtle		
Incident Date:	24/10/15	Incident Time:	0030-0300 Hours
Command & Control URN:	None	Crime Report(s):	None
CCTV Seized?	No		
Sources of Information:			

People queing at the Purple Turtle on Friday night in to the early hours of Saturday morning were allowed to flow in to the venue with little or no checking of ID and no searching. On several occassions the door staff left the door unattended to enter the venue and deal with issues. I was outside the premise for around an hour and saw at least 5 drunk and aggitated persons get ejected and pushed in to the street where they were left for police to deal with. No arrests were made but there probably could have been. I also had to take a glass from a very drunk customer who just walked past door staff holding it out in front of him.

After ejecting persons the staff played no further part.

Resources tied up dealing with drunk angry persons who had been ejected.

Name	Date of Birth	Role	Action Taken	Ref No. (e.g. Custody, PND etc)

When complete, please forward to the Licensing Officer for the area (and anyone else as per local instructions)

Shoulder No/Name: 1341

Station: READING

LPA: READING

Premises Name/Location: THE PURPLE TURTLE

Incident Date: 21/11/15

Incident Time: 12:20 - 03:00

Command & Control URN: 230/21/11/15

Crime Report(s):
CCTV Seized? NK

Sources of Information: RBH, READING

On SATURDAY 21ST NOVEMBER 2015 between the hours of 12:20 and 03:00hrs [REDACTED] was on the middle of the dancefloor in the upstairs of THE PURPLE TURTLE. [REDACTED] was jolted by a male who had knocked into him and this subsequently caused [REDACTED] drink to spill and smash on the floor.

[REDACTED] had an altercation with a male over this and became quite angry. [REDACTED] then felt a sharp pain in his butt and heard the male speak with his group of friends although he did not hear what was being said. The pair then had to be separated by the friends who were surrounding them.

[REDACTED] continued his evening in THE PURPLE TURTLE and did not leave the pub until approximately 0400hrs. [REDACTED] reported to door staff that he thought he had been stabbed however he thinks they did not believe him as there was a disturbance going on where several people were being ejected from the pub. [REDACTED] believes that the male who stabbed him was involved as he did not see him past this point.

[REDACTED] remained in THE PURPLE TURTLE until approximately 0400hrs when he then noticed that there was a substantial amount of blood on his trousers. He has then gone to A&E at RBH, READING where he has been treated for his injuries.

[REDACTED] does not know the extent of his injury to his bottom however he has had the wound closed and has been advised to go to his GP in the next week or so to have it looked at and stitches removed.

When it was reported to a member of door staff they did not believe the aggrieved's comment about him being stabbed. The agg'd believes that this is because there was a further disturbance happening at the same time.

Statement taken from agg'd party, CCTV was attempted to be gained - not available at the time officers went to PURPLE TURTLE - this is with CID to follow up. Staff were helpful when officers attended.

Name	Date of Birth	Role	Action Taken	Ref No. (e.g. Custody, PND etc)

LICENSED PREMISES INCIDENT REPORT

[Redacted]		Aggd party	Statement taken - treated at hospital	

When complete, please forward to the Licensing Officer for the area (and anyone else as per local instructions)

Shoulder No/Name: 7310 SHAM DHOTHAR **Station:** LODDON VALLEY LPA: WOKINGHAM

Premises Name/Location: THE PURPLE TURTLE, GUN ST, READING	
Incident Date: 23/12/15	Incident Time: 0300 HOURS
Command & Control URN: 154 23/12/15	Crime Report(s): 43150362470
CCTV Seized? NOT YET	
Sources of Information: VICTIM	

SUS UNKNOWN HAS PICKED UP A HANDBAG FROM THE AGGRIEVED'S TABLE WHICH BELONGED TO A WITNESS. THE AGGRIEVED HAS CONFRONTED THE SUS WHO HAS PUNCHED HIM ONCE IN THE FACE. THE INCIDENT OCCURRED JUST INSIDE THE FRONT DOOR AREA OF THE PREMISES.

POOR - THEY ALLOWED THE SUSPECT TO LEAVE WITHOUT TAKING HIS DETAILS. THE WITNESS [REDACTED] ASKED THE MANAGER TO CALL THE POLICE TO WHICH HE RESPONDED BY OFFERING THE GROUP A FREE BOTTLE OF WINE. THIS WAS REFUSED AND THEN THE MANAGER ASKED THE BOUNCERS TO KICK THE VICTIM AND THE WITNESSES OUT OF THE PREMISES. THE POLICE RECEIVED NO PHONE CALL OR REPORT OVER TOWN SAFE FROM THE PURPLE TURTLE.

PC 7657 AYLETT - RESPONDING OFFICER
 PC 7530 KELLY- RESPONDING OFFICER
 PC 7310 DHOTHAR - TOOK REPORT FROM THE VICTIM/MG11

Name	Date of Birth	Role	Action Taken	Ref No. (e.g. Custody, PND etc)
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	VICTIM	GOT HIT	
[REDACTED]		WITNESS	CALLED POLICE AND LIASED WITH STAFF AT PREMISES	
[REDACTED]		WITNESS		

When complete, please forward to the Licensing Officer for the area (and anyone else as per local instructions)

Submitting Officer		
Shoulder No/Name: Joshua PITTS PC 5190	Station: Reading	LPA: Reading
Incident Reference		
Premises Name/Location: Purple Turtle, Gunn Street, Reading		
Incident Date: 09/01/2016	Incident Time: 03.23	
Command & Control URN: 157 09/01/2016	Crime Report(s): 43160007562 43160007563	
CCTV Seized? Yes		
Sources of Information:		

Nature of Incident - what happened?	
<p>It is alleged that [redacted] and [redacted] were dancing in the basement bar at the Purple Turtle when [redacted] felt a hand touching her just above her vagina. She identified [redacted] as the person who had done this. [redacted] was unknown to them. [redacted] told her partner [redacted] what had occurred and [redacted] said words to the effect of "YOU'VE JUST SEXUALLY ASSAULTED MY WIFE". [redacted] then approached [redacted] who pushed [redacted] backwards. A member of door staff Ms [redacted] stepped between the two. [redacted] then threw a punch at [redacted].</p> <p>[redacted] and [redacted] allege that the punch connected but caused no injury. CCTV shows a punch being thrown but it is unclear whether the punch connected or not.</p>	

Premises Response - what part did staff play? How did they react/respond (include good/poor performance)?	
<p>[redacted] was escorted from the premises by a male doorstaff who is Polish. [redacted] was escorted to their rear doors. This is caught on door staff's body worn camera. [redacted] on occasion is being aggressive towards the door staff. [redacted] tries to remonstrate with the door staff at the exit. The door staff pushes [redacted] hard with both hands and shouts "GO".</p> <p>Both [redacted] are escorted from the premises where they tell police what has occurred.</p> <p>Please note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some of the cameras in the basement bar are not working. I do not know how long this has been the case but it would appear that the cables were cut during some upgrade. - Staff at the Purple Turtle state that there is to be an upgrade on the cameras soon. - Door staff [redacted] left the Purple Turtle without providing a statement on the night. Staff were able to provide her telephone number on Sunday 10th January (some 24 hours later). - Police managed to obtain a statement from [redacted] on the ** date **. On the phone she stated that she did not really witness what had occurred. CCTV shows her stopping the initial scuffle and it would be hard to believe that she did not see the punch being thrown. When obtaining this statement [redacted] told police that the incident had occurred in the garden when it had not. It became apparent that [redacted] was talking [redacted] the same incident but her recollection was so poor that officers had to show her the CCTV to make sure that the same incident was being spoken about. She did not recall why the scuffle had taken place or what had happened in any detail (she initially thought that the scuffle had occurred because the victim [redacted] had said to [redacted] "YOU'VE JUST SPOKE TO MY WIFE" when actually he was cross because of the sexual assault - this changes things a lot. Her account was wrong and poor. It should be noted that perhaps she is not suitable at the moment to be door staff because according to her she is going through a lot at home including trying to move house and her husband may be paralysed soon due to an high risk upcoming operation. On the plus side as soon as there was trouble [redacted] radioed for assistance which came straight away and she did step in and try to stop the incident. - The door book was completed by [redacted] and the book read 'TWO MALES STARTED TO HIT EACH OTHER ONE SAYING HE HAD TOUCHED MY MISSUS, BOTH REMOVED FROM BAR' - someone else added to this stating which exit one of the males had been ejected from and that he had subsequently been arrested. [redacted] was shown the book to aid her recollection but did not remember what she had written but confirmed it was her own writing. - It is good that Body Worn Video is being utilised by the staff. The Polish door staff does well in ejecting [redacted] until right at the last second when he appears to needlessly push [redacted] and shout "GO". In my opinion he could have simply stepped back and closed the door - the push was not necessary. - Day manager [redacted] gave up over an hour of his time to assist police in obtaining all cameras on both systems as well as the body worn video. Very helpful. 	

- Whilst speaking to [REDACTED] it should be noted that the two CCTV systems show that their clocks are correct and within seconds of each other which helps work out what has occurred (no time lapse / delay).

Police Response – what action was taken? Please identify the main officers who dealt with the incident.

[REDACTED] is then arrested nearby and is identified by the LAMOND's as the person involved.

Initial officers involved were PC 6768 GREEN and Nicole HENDERSON PC 1407

Initially one camera angle (camera 11) was handed over and this showed the incident. Officers PC 5190 PITTS and SC 9896 BROOKS returned to the Purple Turtle during daylight hours to review more cameras as it was thought that there should be more cameras in the basement. This is when it was discovered that two of the cameras are not working due to cutting of cables. This was when BWV was also seized.

[REDACTED] has now been issued a caution for common assault. No further action was taken with regard to the sexual assault due to insufficient evidence.

Persons involved - to add more rows click into the final cell of this table

Name	Date of Birth	Role	Action Taken	Ref No. (e.g. Custody, PND etc)
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Victim	Statement	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Victim	Statement	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Offender	Arrested	CREU16000818

When complete, please forward to the Licensing Officer for the area (and anyone else as per local instructions)

Shoulder No/Name: PC 1064 CLARKE	Station: READING	LPA: 43EA
---	-------------------------	------------------

Premises Name/Location: PURPLE TURTLE			
Incident Date:	26/02/2016	Incident Time:	03:36
Command & Control URN:	108 26/02/2016	Crime Report(s):	43160056282
CCTV Seized?	Yes		
Sources of Information:	Witness		

It is reported that the aggrieved has witnessed a drug deal inside the venue earlier in the evening. As he has left the venue he has seen the same male again and challenged him about what he was doing inside. The male has then proceeded to assault him as a result of this. It is reported that the door staff observed the assault and did not intervene, however, returned inside the venue instead.

It is reported to Police by the aggrieved and initial accounts by witnesses that the door staff at the Purple Turtle observed the assault and did not intervene but went back inside the venue. This has not been confirmed by CCTV.

PC 1064 CLARKE attended the venue and spoke to a manager by the name of [REDACTED]. He was willing to copy the CCTV of the cameras for the front of the venue but was reluctant to allow PC CLARKE to review and have footage of the stairs on the inside of the venue stating that his [REDACTED] states that Police are not allowed instant access to all footage as it "may cover other things" within the club that are not specific to the incident itself. It was explained to [REDACTED] by PC CLARKE that if he refused to give the CCTV, then it would be seized. After an long and unnecessary period of time waiting, PC CLARKE obtained two discs, one showing the external cameras and one showing the internal stairs. There also was a report from the aggrieved in relation to the assault stating that they had observed a male being searched for drugs inside the venue by doorstaff however the incident book was checked by PC CLARKE and there was no record of a search being completed or no one being ejected from the venue as a result.

PC 1064 CLARKE
PC 6271 WILSON

Identified aggrieved and obtained witness statement, identified three witnesses and obtained details. Reviewed and seized CCTV, identified two offenders and captured images of them for identification purposes

Name	Date of Birth	Role	Action Taken	Ref No. (e.g. Custody, PND etc)
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Witness	none	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Witness	none	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Witness	none	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Aggrieved	none	

GEN 40 (01/2013)

Occurrence enquiry log report

Thames Valley Police

Occurrence: **43160095134 Assault with Injury [8N] @07/04/2016 02:14 (THE PURPLE TURTLE PH,9, GUN STREET, READING, READING United Kingdom RG1 2JR (THE PURPLE TURTLE) (County: BERKSHIRE, Neighbourhood: EA ABBEY / BATTLE, LPA: READING)) (CALLER HAS BEEN ASSAULTED SHE WAS**

Task status: **All**Log type: **All**

Valid as of August 29, 2017 at 09:27
Printed by #C2116 KING, M.

Type	Entry time	Event time	Author	Link	Task
Enquiry log	07/04/2016 03:16		#CAD CAD INTERFACE	No	
Log entry:	AMT AGGD HAS BEEN PUNCHED IN FACE BY AN UNKNOWN MALE. AGGD WAS AT OFFLOC WITH A FEMALE FRIEND. THE OFFNDR TRIED TO CHAT UP HER FRIEND. A COMMENT WAS MADE BY OFFNDR TO AGGD SO AGGD ASKED HER FRIEND TO GATHER HER THINGS SO THEY COULD LEAVE. OFFNDR HAS THEN PUNCHED AGGD IN FACE. <u>DOORSTAFF SAW THIS AND EJECTED OFFNDR</u> AGGD HAS SINCE RETURNED HOME AND CALLED POLICE				
Investigator summary	07/04/2016 04:49		#P7248 FLYNN, R.	No	
Log entry:	THE PRECIS IS CORRECT. THE VICTIM HAS A SWELLING TO THE RIGHT CHEEKBONE AND HAS A BLACK EYE.				
Victim/witness contact	07/04/2016 04:58		#P7248 FLYNN, R.	No	
Log entry:	VICTIM CONTACT				
	Victim has been debriefed and a statement taken. Victim will be contacted tomorrow to determine whether the friend [REDACTED] remembers anything from the event and arrange an MG11. Victim will be updated upon any developments or 28 days.				
Investigator action	07/04/2016 05:13		#P7248 FLYNN, R.	No	
Log entry:	A1. OBTAIN VICTIM MG11 === COMPLETED				
	MG11 taken and with the paperwork				
Investigator action	07/04/2016 05:17		#P7248 FLYNN, R.	No	
Log entry:	A2. MEDICAL CONSENT FORM === COMPLETED				
	The victim has not sought any medical attention as yet but has signed the form in the event that she does. Advice given re potential concussion				
Investigator action	07/04/2016 05:21		#P7248 FLYNN, R.	No	
Log entry:	A3. PHOTOGRAPHS === COMPLETED				
	PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN OF INJURIES, BRUISING IS BEGINNING TO APPEAR. EXHIBIT OCR/4				
Investigator action	07/04/2016 05:27		#P7248 FLYNN, R.	No	
Log entry:	A4. SWABS === COMPLETED				
	SWABS TAKEN OF THE AREA AS THE OFFENDER IS UNKNOWN. EXHIBITS OCR/1, OCR/2 AND OCR/3.				
Investigator action	07/04/2016 05:30		#P7248 FLYNN, R.	No	
Log entry:	***A5. CCTV FROM OFFLOC === ONGOING				

OFFFLOC HAVE BEEN LIAISED WITH BUT THEY WERE SHUT. THE MANAGER IS AWARE OF THE INCIDENT AND WILL VIEW AND BURN CCTV TOMORROW (7/4/16) WHEN HE RETURNS AT 1800 HOURS.

Investigator action 07/04/2016 05:31 #P7248 FLYNN, R. No
Log entry: ***CONTACT POTENTIAL WITNESS [REDACTED] === ONGOING

THE WITNESS WAS WITH THE VICTIM AT THE TIME OF THE OFFENCE HOWEVER THE WITNESS WAS QUITE INTOXICATED AT THE TIME. SHE IS TO BE CONTACTED ON 7/4/16 TO CONFIRM WHETHER SHE REMEMBERS ANYTHING OF THE INCIDENT

Sergeant review 07/04/2016 06:35 #P7248 FLYNN, R. No
Log entry: PS 6216 WOOD has reviewed this occurrence with OIC and agreed the investigation plan. A full review will be completed this evening (7/4/16)

Notes 07/04/2016 08:01 #C2858 HEYBOURNE, M. No
Log entry: Crime Co- Ordinator VAP Review

Supervisory Review ? Completed with PS Wood

Victim ? Supporting at this time

Suspect ? Not yet identified

Other Issues ? Gen 40 required. (licensed premises). It appears that doorstaff have ejected suspect but have not called police.

Investigator action 07/04/2016 19:18 #P7248 FLYNN, R. No
Log entry: ***A6. CONTACT POTENTIAL WITNESS [REDACTED] === ONGOING

I have telephoned the witness this evening, the phone rang but no answer. I have left a voicemail requesting a call back from the witness.

Investigator action 07/04/2016 21:39 #P7248 FLYNN, R. No
Log entry: A6. CONTACT WITNESS [REDACTED] === COMPLETED

[REDACTED] states that she was very intoxicated and most of what she knows of the incident has been given to her third hand. She states that she does remember being in the venue and being approached by the male who was very insistent that she should go outside with him. She states that the victim told him to leave her alone and that she asked the victim to pick up her bag which was on the floor, but then she walked away so did not see the assault. She describes the male as ic3 and approx 6' tall and possibly wearing a black t shirt. No statement has been taken at this time but she is willing to provide one if necessary.

Investigator action 07/04/2016 21:50 #P7248 FLYNN, R. No
Log entry: A5. CCTV FROM OFFFLOC == COMPLETED

CCTV COLLECTED BY P7413 KING AND BOOKED INTO PROPERTY. NO CCTV OF ACTUAL OFFENCE BUT CCTV OF SUS BEING EJECTED.

Investigator action 07/04/2016 21:56 #P7248 FLYNN, R. No
Log entry: A7. GEN40 === COMPLETED

GEN40 has been completed and emailed to licencing officer Mike King

PEC 08/04/2016 23:09 #C0275 SAWKINS, J. No
Log entry: CALL FROM AGGD - SHE HAD A MISSED CALL FROM A WITHELD NUMBER AND THOUGHT IT MAY HAVE BEEN AN OFFICER = ADVISED FROM NOTES NOTHING TO SUGGEST AN OFFICER HAS TRIED TO CONTACT HER. NO MESSAGE WAS LEFT.

PEC 14/04/2016 17:25 #C0682 WALTON, K. No T1600299365 For action Closed

Log entry: CALL FROM AGGD WISHING TO SPEAK TO OIC. OIC NOT BACK ON DUTY UNTIL 18/04. FOR OIC TO MAKE A CALL TO AGGD.

Victim/witness contact 19/04/2016 17:28 #P7248 FLYNN, R. No

Log entry:

VICTIM CONTACT

OIC has telephoned the victim this evening 1730 hours. Aggd states that the bruising has gone down but that it is still swollen and may have a small fracture to the cheekbone. Aggd went to the hospital but has not had an x ray as yet as the area was too swollen but will re attend this week. Aggd has taken more photos as the bruising came out and will give this to OIC. OIC has updated that there is no CCTV of the actual assault but that there is CCTV of the males being ejected from the venue. OIC will attend the address Weds 20/5/16 to collect photos and get a medical consent form signed.

Victim/witness contact 20/04/2016 22:25 #P7248 FLYNN, R. No

Log entry:

OIC has visited aggd and collected photographs of the injury. Aggd is going to attend RBH tomorrow (Thurs 21st April) in order to have an x ray on her eye socket. Aggd will update OIC with the result of this. medical consent form was already signed.

Investigator summary 11/05/2016 03:20 #P7248 FLYNN, R. No

Log entry:

OIC has reviewed the CCTV available of this incident. there is no CCTV available of the assault itself and the only description that the aggd can give is that the offender was an IC3 male who was taller than her. OIC has liaised with aggd's friend who remembers even less about the incident than the aggd due to her intoxication at the time. A group of males, all of whom are IC3, are seen to leave the venue shortly after the time the incident took place. They have not been forcibly ejected by door staff ut aqll walk out in a group. OIC has spoken to the door staff that were working on the night of the incident and they have not seen any assault either and cannot give direction as to who had committed the offence. There is no way of identifying which, if any, of the males has committed this offence and there are no further lines of enquiry. A textmail will be sent to the victim to inform her of this and that the case will be filed unless any other evidence comes to light.

Victim/witness contact 11/05/2016 03:26 #P7248 FLYNN, R. No

Log entry:

VICTIM CONTACT

Textmail sent to aggd re lack of identifiable suspect and filing decision.

Sergeant review 27/05/2016 02:03 #P2012 O'DONOVAN, J. No

Log entry:

SR

CASE SUMMARY

The Aggrieved was punched in the face in a club by an unknown male Suspect. The OIC has conducted a thorough investigation but the Aggrieved does not know whom the victim is. No witnesses have been identified and the incident has not been captured on CCTV. There are no further lines of enquiry and this report shall be closed unless further evidence comes to light. The Victim has been informed of this.

Code 18 ? the investigation is complete; No suspect identified. Crime investigated as far as reasonably possible. Case closed pending further investigative opportunities becoming available.

Occurrence enquiry log report

Thames Valley Police

Occurrence: **43160259418 Assault Without Injury [105.A] @18/09/2016 05:31 (SGM, IN READING CENTRAL (2-119), BROAD STREET, READING, BERKSHIRE United Kingdom (Loc. auth.: Berkshire-Traffic and Transport Wokingham Highways Alliance, County: BERKSHIRE, Neighbourhood: EA A**

Task status: **All**Log type: **All**

Valid as of August 31, 2017 at 12:31
Printed by #C2116 KING, M.

Type	Entry time	Event time	Author	Link	Task
Enquiry log	18/09/2016 05:33		#P0578 O'CONNOR, A.	No	
Log entry:	ORC...UNKNOWN FEMALE HAS ATTACKED AGGD BY PUNCHING HER IN THE FACE, CAUSING A 'BUST NOSE', POSSIBLY BROKEN.				
Investigator action	18/09/2016 05:37		#P0578 O'CONNOR, A.	No	T1600760433 For action Closed
Log entry:	<p>THIS NICHE LOG IS LINKED TO 43160259415 ANOTHER ASSULT CARRIED OUT EARLIER IN THE NIGHT BY THE SAME SUSPECT AT THE PURPLE TURTLE. OIC CAME</p> <p>ACROSS THE AGG'D OUTSIDE OF THE METRO BANK AND SAW THAT THE AGG'D HAS A BLEEDING NOSE, AGG'D STATED THAT AN ASIAN FEMALE HAD PUNCHED AND ATTCKED HER FOR NO REASON. AGG'D STATED THIS WAS THE SAME FEMALE WHO ATTACKED HER FRIEND EARLIER IN TEH NIGHT IN THE PURPLE TURTLE. AGG'D REFUSED TO ATTEND THE RBH TO BE ASSESSED. <u>AGG'D WAS TOO INTOXICATED TO TAKE AN MG11.</u> I WILL CALL AGG'D WHEN I COME BACK ON DUTY AT 1800HRS ON 18TH SEPT AND ARRANGE TO SEE HER AND TAKE MG11, PHOTOS AND MEDICAL CONSENT FORM.</p> <p>A1. Area search for evidence: area search carried out for the suspect - no trace. ***A2. Arrange CSI photos- to be done ***A3. Identify Witnesses- agg'ds sister is possible witness ***A4. Obtain Witness Statement (both victim and witnesses)- unable to obtain agg'ds or witness MG11 due to high levels of intoxication. ***A5. Preserve CCTV- CCTV enq's to be done via ET and purple Turtle ***A6. Complete Gen 41 Medical Consent- to be completed when teh agg'ds MG11 is doen ***A7. Identify Suspect- sus has attacked another female in teh Purple Turtle earlier in the night - NICHE ref: 43160259415 ***A8. Victim update- agg'd contact plan agreed ***A9. Obtain initial photos of injury ***A10. print off photos and booked into gems.</p>				
Investigator action	18/09/2016 17:51		#P0578 O'CONNOR, A.	No	T1600760433 For action Closed
Log entry:	<p>VICTIM CONTACT CONTRACT</p> <p>1. How often have we agreed to contact the victim? (Be specific) I agreed to call the vic today when i came back on duty i have called her and left her a message to call me. ??????????????????????</p> <p>2. How would the victim like to be updated? (Phone, Email, Text etc.) by phone?????????????????????</p> <p>3. Has the victim been informed of their rights under the victim code and been signposted to the Force Website or given a leaflet for more information? Yes</p> <p>4. Has the Criminal Justice process (Including Court) been fully explained? Yes</p> <p>5. Has the victim been offered an opportunity to make a VPS? Yes</p> <p>6. Has a VPS been provided? Not at this time</p> <p>7. Have Special Measures been discussed? yes if we can ID A suspect</p> <p>8. Is the Victim vulnerable and are they entitled to enhanced entitlements under the Victims code;</p>				

? Are they Vulnerable through Age, Physical or mental disability? No
 ? Are they intimidated by suspect, family or associates? No
 ? Are they a repeat victim? No
 ? What safeguarding and support measures have you put in place if Yes to the above?
 ??????????????????????

The contact contract should be revisited periodically to ensure we are meeting the victim's needs and offering the correct level of service and support.

It is strongly advised to manage the continued victim contact through Outlook calendar reminder and essential that all communication is fully recorded within the OEL utilising the 'Victim / Witness contact' title

Investigator action 19/09/2016 01:16 #P0578 O'CONNOR, A. No T1600760433 For action Closed

Log entry: I COULDN'T GET TO SEE TO AGG'D THIS EVENING DUE TO RESPONSE COMMITMENTS, I CALLED HER AND ARRANGED FOR HER TO SEE AN OFFICER ON WEDS 21ST SEPT AT 7.30PM FOR THEM TO ATTEND AND TAKE AN MG11 FROM HER.

Notes 19/09/2016 08:02 #C2858 HEYBOURNE, M. No

Log entry: Crime Co- Ordinator VAP Review
 Classification ? Appears to be correct at this time
 OIC - appointed
 Supervisory Review ? Required. Task set
 Victim ? Supporting at this time. Appt made for 21/9/16
 Safeguarding - N/A
 Suspect ? not identified but believed responsible for another offence also. Offences have been related on NICHE.

Investigator summary 21/09/2016 23:48 #P7448 QUINN, T. No

Log entry: A1. Area search for evidence: area search carried out for the suspect - no trace.
 ***A2. Arrange CSI photos- to be done
 A3. Identify Witnesses- agg'ds sister is possible witness == HAVE SPOKEN TO AGG SISTER [REDACTED]. SHE DID NOT WITNESS THE ASSAULT ONLY SAW AGG RUNNING.
 A4. Obtain Witness Statement (both victim and witnesses)- unable to obtain agg'ds or witness MG11 due to high levels of intoxication. ==COMPLETED FROM [REDACTED](AGG)
 ***A5. Preserve CCTV- CCTV enq's to be done via ET and purple Turtle
 A6. Complete Gen 41 Medical Consent- to be completed when teh agg'ds MG11 is doen === VICTIM HAS NOT SOUGHT MEDICAL ATTENTION
 ***A7. Identify Suspect- sus has attacked another female in teh Purple Turtle earlier in the night - NICHE ref: 43160259415
 ***A8. Victim update- agg'd contact plan agreed
 A9. Obtain initial photos of injury== COMPLETED, TLQ/1 TV 292918. GEME REF EA00094992/16
 A10. print off photos and booked into gems.== COMPLETED, FURTHER PHOTOS TAKEN. EXHIBIT TLQ/2 TV 2929173 GEMS REF EA00094996/16

Investigator action 24/09/2016 07:33 #P0578 O'CONNOR, A. No T1600760433 For action Closed

Log entry: A2- NO REQUIREMENT FOR CSI PHOTOS AS AGG'DS HAS TAKEN SOME PHOTOS AND THESE HAVE BEEN SEIZED.
 ***A5- PC 561 TAYLOR ATTENDED THE PURPLE TURTLE AND CARRIED OUT CCTV ENQ'S, HE ESTABLISHED THAT **THERE IS NO RECORDED INCIDENT ON THE BOOKS** AND THERE IS NO CCTV OF ANY KIND OF ALTERCATION BETWEEN ANY PARTIES. I HAVE STILL TO CONTACT CCTV TO SEE IF THEY HAVE ANY FOOTGAE OF THE ALTERCATION ON ST MARY'S BUTTS.

Sergeant review 25/09/2016 13:54 #P1377 STREETER, S. No

Log entry: A/PS 1377 STREETER - SR

This is a matter of a double assault. This is the master NICHE. Enquiries have been set and I concur with the actions. There appears to be no record of the incident from Purple Turtle nor is there CCTV.

There appears to be another aggrieved outstanding to be seen and they may be other CCTV opportunities from surrounding establishments / METRO BANK.

A11. Please chase other victim for linked matter

A12. Please look for other CCTV opportunities from other venues next to Purple Turtle

A13. Consider METRO bank CCTV is this will assist at all

Sergeant review 01/10/2016 18:54 #XP5821 SLINGER, D. No T1600760433 For action Closed

Log entry: SR Review - APS 5821 Slinger.

Tasks ongoing - as per last review by APS Streeter.

New review date set.

Investigator action 04/10/2016 21:10 #P0578 O'CONNOR, A. No T1600760433 For action Closed

Log entry: I have spoken to both agg'ds in these investigations and updated them regarding not being able to identify a suspect for their incidents. I have looked at CCTV opportunities at Be At One, Zero Degrees, Smash and The Purple Turtle that could capture the agg'ds assault opposite The Turtle against the wall near the graveyard. None of those cameras at those venues would have captured the incident. I have also revisited the Turtle and asked again for them to review the CCTV for the area where that assault took place. I will be visiting The Metro bank and Headmasters tomorrow to look at any CCTV opportunities there and this will be the final enquiry.

Investigator action 11/10/2016 11:53 #P0578 O'CONNOR, A. No T1600760433 For action Closed

Log entry: UPDATE ON CCTV OUTSTANDING ENQ'S-I HAVE ATTENDED THE METRO BANK, HEAD-MASTERS AND THE POLSKI DELI ALL ON ST MARY'S BUTTS, THE METRO BANK CCTV JUST COVERS INSIDE THE BANK AND NOTHING AT ALL OUTSIDE, LIKEWISE WITH THE CAMERA AT HEAD-MASTERS, THERE IS A CCTV CAMERA OUTSIDE OF THE POLSKI DELI WHICH COVERS THEIR FRONT ENTRANCE SO WOULD NOT CAPTURE THE OFFENCE. THERE ARE NO FURTHER LINES OF ENQUIRY IN RELATION TO THIS INVESTIGATION, I HAVE INFORMED THE AGG'D'S OF THE LATEST WITH REF THE CCTV ENQ'S AND NOTIFIED THEM BOTH THAT DUE TO THE LACK OF EVIDENCE IN IDENTIFYING ANY SUSPECT THESE INVESTIGATIONS WILL NOW BE FILED. PAPERS SENT TO REC AND TO PS5821 SLINGER TO FILE.

Sergeant review 11/10/2016 12:21 #XP5821 SLINGER, D. No T1600801085 Review Closed

Log entry: OUTCOMES 11- 21 (GENERAL FILING)

OFFENCE SUMMARY: The aggrieved was assaulted by an unknown female and had injuries to her face. no cctv, no independent witnesses.

OUTCOME REQUESTED AND RATIONALE:

18 (NO SUSPECT) - CRIME CONFIRMED BUT NO NAMED SUSPECT HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED

THE VICTIM HAS BEEN INFORMED OF OUTCOME: YES

FILING REQUESTED: YES

ALL TASKS COMPLETED AND CLOSED (EXCEPT OUTCOME REQUEST): YES

APPROPRIATE FORCE CLASS QUALIFIER APPLIED IN FINALISATION: YES

ALL RELEVANT PERSONS ADDED TO INVOLVED TAB: YES

Submitting Officer		
Shoulder No/Name: P1590	Station: Reading	LPA: Reading

Incident References		
Premises Name/Location: Purple Turtle		
Incident Date: 17/12/2016	Incident Time: 0240hrs	
Command & Control URN:	Crime Report(s): 43160333063	
CCTV Seized? No		
Sources of Information: Echo Tango		

Nature of Incident - what happened?
A group of males were ejected from the rear of Purple Turtle after an altercation inside the venue. One member of door staff was reportedly hit in the face, leading to him become becoming angry and having to be restrained by his colleagues.

Premises Response - what part did staff play? How did they react/assist (include good/poor performance)?
Door staff ejected the group of males, however as mentioned above they did have to hold a member of door staff back as they were struggling to control their anger. The venue did not report this to the police and we were called to deal by ECHO TANGO. When dealing with the incident the manager of the venue cooperated and allowed officers to speak to the member of door staff and give word of advice.

Police Response - what action was taken? Please identify the main officers who dealt with the incident
PC 7732 LILLICO and myself PC 1590 CHILD, spoke with the member of door staff with regards to his account and his level of professionalism. The doorman in question admitted to getting angry and being held back. His details were taken. SIA Badge Number - [REDACTED]

Persons Involved - to add more rows click into the final cell of this table				
Name	Date of Birth	Role	Action Taken	Ref No. (e.g. Custody, PND etc)
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Doorstaff	Word of advice	

When complete, please forward to the Licensing Officer for the area (and anyone else as per local instructions)

King Mike

From: Wheeler Simon
Sent: 13 January 2017 18:15
To: King Mike; 'Narancic, Peter'; 'French, Richard'
Subject: FW: Purple turtle

FYI

I'll try to look into this further

Simon Wheeler Police Constable 5787
Reading Licensing Dept | Reading LPA | Thames Valley Police

Switchboard (non emergency): ☎ 101
Mobile: [REDACTED]
✉ Castle Street, Reading, Berkshire, RG1 7TH

From: [REDACTED] (Reading)
Sent: 13 January 2017 14:19
To: Wheeler Simon <Simon.Wheeler@thamesvalley.pnn.police.uk>
Subject: RE: Purple turtle

Hi Simon

Sorry for the delay in replying.

My Daughter has stated that on the evening of [REDACTED] 2016 going into the morning of [REDACTED] 2016, she was drinking in the Purple Turtle and talking to a member of staff possibly [REDACTED]

During their conversation she heard a commotion within the premise and she [REDACTED] looked over to see a white male holding his head whilst another white male was being pinned down on the floor by several Doormen.

According to my Daughter, apparently the male being held on the floor who was aggressive and trying to fight the Doormen, had bottled the male holding his head but my Daughter could not see any blood or injuries.

The male holding his head was approached by Doormen and it seemed he did not want any action done by the Doorman with regards to the male held on the floor so they escorted him off the premises and let him on his way.

Unsure what happened to the male that was possibly injured.

[REDACTED]
Central Reading Police Station
Castle Street
Reading, Berkshire, RG1 7TH
LL: 101 / [REDACTED]

"Working in partnership to make our community safer"



SIT PAX IN VALLE TAMESIS

From: Wheeler Simon
Sent: 04 January 2017 15:09
To: [REDACTED]; Beaumont Sophie
<Sophie.Beaumont@thamesvalley.pnn.police.uk>
Cc: Marr Steven <steven.marr@thamesvalley.pnn.police.uk>; Pitman Rob
<Rob.Pitman@thamesvalley.pnn.police.uk>
Subject: Purple turtle

Guys

Both of you have recently told me about incidents you have been aware of at the Purple Turtle.

I am intending on looking into both of them so could you please refresh my memory by writing up dates, times and a brief outline of each so that I can investigate.

Thanks

Simon

Simon Wheeler Police Constable 5787
Reading Licensing Dept | Reading LPA | Thames Valley Police

Switchboard (non emergency): ☎ 101
Mobile: [REDACTED]
✉ Castle Street, Reading, Berkshire, RG1 7TH

Occurrence enquiry log report

Thames Valley Police

Occurrence: 43170071904 Other Offences against the State or Public Order [66] @11/03/2017 14:34
(THE PURPLE TURTLE PH,9, GUN STREET, READING, READING United Kingdom RG1
2JR (THE PURPLE TURTLE) (County: BERKSHIRE, Neighbourhood: EA ABBEY / BATTLE,
LPA: READING)) (AFFR)

Task status: All

Log type: All

Valid as of September 01, 2017 at 06:51
Printed by #C2116 KING, M.

Type	Entry time	Event time	Author	Link	Task
Enquiry log	11/03/2017 14:37		#P6663 LIDDICOTT, C.	No	
Log entry:	SUSPECT HAS PRODUCED A KITCHEN KNIFE FROM HIS WASTE BAND AND THREATENED ANOTHER MALE WITH THIS KNIFE WHILST IN PURPLE TURTLE.				
PEC	11/03/2017 14:40		#XC3400 DOMINY, No J.	No	
Log entry:	NOTED SEPARATE OCC FOR HAVING AN OFFENSIVE WEAPON. CRIMED AS AFFRAY				
Scrutineer/DDM review	12/03/2017 14:20		#C8544 DAY, R.	No	
Log entry:	RD ASSISTANT CRIME REGISTRAR: CUSTODY SEARCH - OFFENDER CHARGED WITH AFFRAY THIS IS PART OF THE SAME OFFENCE RELATED 43170071904 - CANCEL CRIME APPROVED. CLASSIFICATION REVIEW COMPLETE: YES LOCATION CONFIRMED: YES AGG?D CONFIRMED: YES HATE CRIME QUALIFIERS: YES				
Investigator action	12/03/2017 15:42		#P6663 LIDDICOTT, C.	No	
Log entry:	SUSPECT INTERVIEWED, CHARGED AND REMANDED IN CUSTODY. FILE COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED TO FUR/ERO'S, DETECTION CODE APPLIED, FOR FILING.				

Witness Statement

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 16.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s.9

URN:

--	--	--	--

Statement of: **Christopher LIDDICOTT**Age if under 18 (if over insert "over 18"): **Over 18**Occupation: **Police Officer 6663**

This statement (consisting of ... 1 ... Pages(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: **Christopher LIDDICOTT**Date: **11/03/2017**

I am making this further statement in relation to my dealings with [REDACTED] on 11th March 2017.

I have viewed exhibit GPS/01 (CCTV FOOTAGE FROM PURPLE TURTLE) a number of times during the course of this investigation. The footage is of very good quality and there are clear, close up images of the suspects face in this footage.

After viewing the CCTV footage I have met [REDACTED] in person as the interviewing officer whilst he was in custody. I can confirm that the person in the footage holding the knife is the same person that I have interview in custody today who I can confirm to be [REDACTED] [REDACTED] is distinctive in his appearance due to a distinct beard, he is also wearing the same clothing in custody that he is wearing in the CCTV footage.

I have never met [REDACTED] previously, however I would recognise him again if I saw him again and I am willing to identify the offender in exhibit GPS/01 to be [REDACTED]

Signature: **Christopher LIDDICOTT**Signature Witnessed by: **N/A**

Occurrence enquiry log report

Thames Valley Police

Occurrence: **43170131387 Assault with Injury [8N] @07/05/2017 13:50 (THE PURPLE TURTLE PH,9, GUN STREET, READING, READING United Kingdom RG1 2JR (THE PURPLE TURTLE) (County: BERKSHIRE, Neighbourhood: EA ABBEY / BATTLE, LPA: READING)) (CALLER REPORTING THAT HE WAS ASSA**

Task status: **All**Log type: **All**

Valid as of August 31, 2017 at 13:41

Printed by #C2116 KING, M.

Type	Entry time	Event time	Author	Link	Task
Enquiry log	07/05/2017 14:06		#CAD CAD INTERFACE	No	
Log entry:	CALLER REPORTING THAT HE WAS ASSAULTED LAST NIGHT - HAS INJURIES. CALLER WAS AT OFF LOC AND WAS QUEUEING AT THE BAR WHEN A MALE AND FEMALE APPROACHED TRYING TO JUMP THE QUEUE. CALLER WAS TRYING TO STAND HIS GROUND WITH THEM AND THE NEXT THING HE REMEMBERS IS WAKING UP AFTER BEING KNOCKED OUT. CALLER'S FRIEND WAS WITH HIM AND STATES THAT MALE HAD HEADBUTTED AND PUNCHED CALLER IN THE FACE. THIS HAS CAUSED CALLER TO SUFFER A SWOLLEN LIP, BLEEDING GUMS AND THE SIDE OF HIS HEAD IS VERY TENDER. DESC 1 IC1 MALE, APPROX 24 Y/O, SKINNY BUILD, BLONDE/GINGER HAIR. DESC 2 IC1 FEMALE, APPROX 24 Y/O, QUITE SHORT, SKINNY BUILD, BRUNETTE HAIR. ON LEAVING THE CLUB CALLER STATES THAT THE OFF'DS STARTED SHOUTING 'FAGGOT' AT CALLER.				
Investigator action	08/05/2017 09:37		#P0578 O'CONNOR, A.	No	T1700374244 For action Closed
Log entry:	TRiage - message left for agg'd to call in to arrange a charm appoint, tas def for few days.				
Investigator action	10/05/2017 16:51		#P0787 DAVIES, C.	No	
Log entry:	EY114 - I have called the victim as I am unable to attend the appointment due to a clash with a voluntary interview I have booked. No answer so voicemail left requesting a callback to discuss the incident and to specify date/time so that CCTV enquiries can be carried out.				
Victim/witness contact	10/05/2017 17:50		#P0787 DAVIES, C.	No	T1700385351 For action Closed
Log entry:	VICTIM CONTACT CONTRACT				
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> How often have we agreed to contact the victim? Once weekly or when significant updates are available. How would the victim like to be updated? Call to mobile or text message when OIC on nights Has the victim been informed of their rights under the victim code and been signposted to the Force Website or given a leaflet for more information? No Has the Criminal Justice process (Including Court) been fully explained? No Has the victim been offered an opportunity to make a VPS? No Has a VPS been provided? No Have Special Measures been discussed? No Is the Victim vulnerable and are they entitled to enhanced entitlements under the Victims code; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ? Are they Vulnerable through Age, Physical or mental disability? No ? Are they intimidated by suspect, family or associates? No ? Are they a repeat victim? No ? What safeguarding and support measures have you put in place if Yes to the above? Restorative Justice: Is the victim open to RJ and is there a realistic prospect of a successful RJ process (i.e. offender accepting responsibility for their actions etc)? No <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ? If the answer is ?yes? task RJ Referral on Niche. If the answer is ?no?, keep under review as the case progresses. 				

The contact contract should be revisited periodically to ensure we are meeting the victim's needs and offering the correct level of service and support.

It is strongly advised to manage the continued victim contact through Outlook calendar reminder and essential that all communication is fully recorded within the OEL utilising the ?Victim / Witness contact? title

Investigator action 10/05/2017 17:53 #P0787 DAVIES, C. No T1700385351 For action Closed

Log entry: A1. VICTIM CONTACT *** ONGOING

I was unable to attend the charm appointment at 1700 due to having a voluntary interview booked in at EA for 1800. I spoke with the victim over the phone to clarify basic details of the offence. He has confirmed that it occurred at approx 02:00 on Sunday the 7th of May. He stated that it was witnessed by his housemate, [REDACTED] and possibly by door staff.

I have explained to the victim that I will attend the Purple Turtle and view the CCTV to see if a good enough quality image of the offender can be sought. Based on this a decision as to whether an MG11 will be taken will be made.

Investigator action 12/05/2017 11:43 #P0940 WILLIS, D. No T1700374244 For action Closed

Log entry: *** ALLOCATION TRIAGE ***

I NOTE THIS OCCURRENCE HAS AN OIC ATTACHED TO IT. TASK SENT TO THEM. TASK COMPLETED.

Victim/witness contact 14/05/2017 18:35 #P0787 DAVIES, C. No T1700385351 For action Closed

Log entry: A1. VICTIM CONTACT ***

Voicemail left for the victim updating him that Purple Turtle have CCTV of the incident. I will attend there tonight to view it.

Investigator action 15/05/2017 00:08 #P0787 DAVIES, C. No T1700385351 For action Closed

Log entry: A2. CCTV === COMPLETE

CCTV has been obtained from the Purple Turtle. There are lots of people in the view of the camera which makes it difficult to see the offence, but it is clear that there is an incident in the area described by the victim. I have obtained CCTV of the suspect being ejected from the venue, so I will capture a still image to be placed on ID sought.

Victim/witness contact 15/05/2017 00:11 #P0787 DAVIES, C. No T1700385351 For action Closed

Log entry: A1. VICTIM CONTACT ***

Text message sent to the victim explaining the CCTV update. Explained further contact will be made when I am back after rest days.

Investigator action 26/05/2017 11:02 #P0787 DAVIES, C. No T1700385351 For action Closed

Log entry: A3. ID SOUGHT ===

An email has been sent out to all response teams at Reading on Monday the 22nd of May 2017 and DMM Berks West have been tasked to create an ID Sought slide for the briefing.

Investigator action 26/05/2017 11:06 #P0787 DAVIES, C. No T1700385351 For action Closed

Log entry: A1. VICTIM CONTACT ***

Voicemail left for the victim updating him that the CCTV has been viewed and an ID sought is being created. Explained that I will update him with the progress next week.

Sergeant review 26/05/2017 14:46 #P6509 SANDERSON, S. No T1700385351 For action Closed

Log entry: DS RV:

Assault, suspect identity unknown, CCTV does not capture offence but captures a commotion and the fall out, CCTV image of male being ejected has been circulated on ID sought. Victim updated with progress but if ID remains unknown this is likely to be filed.

Sergeant
review
Log entry:

01/06/2017 22:47

#P6509 SANDERSON, S. No T1700385351 For action
Closed

DS RV:

As per below, no suspect identified; filed.

Occurrence enquiry log report

Thames Valley Police

Occurrence: 43170145650 Assault with Injury [8N] @20/05/2017 02:37 (THE PURPLE TURTLE PH,9, GUN STREET, READING, READING United Kingdom RG1 2JR (THE PURPLE TURTLE) (County: BERKSHIRE, Neighbourhood: EA ABBEY / BATTLE, LPA: READING)) (19 YEAR OLD MALE HAD STATED THAT

Task status: All

Log type: All

Valid as of August 31, 2017 at 13:50

Printed by #C2116 KING, M.

Type	Entry time	Event time	Author	Link	Task
Enquiry log	20/05/2017 03:43		#P0018 HOUGHTON, L.	No	
Log entry:	19 YEAR OLD MALE HAD STATED THAT SOMEBODY ATTACKED HIM - "PUSHED HIS EYES IN" AND BUSTED LIP - FACIAL INJURIES. PATIENT DETAILS - 07902844749				
PEC	20/05/2017 03:49		#C5395 SCOTNEY, J.	No	
Log entry:	P0018- OFFD UNKNOWN, HAS LUNGED AT VICTIM CAUSING A CUT AND BRUISING TO THE LEFT SIDE OF HIS FACE [VICTIM AND WITNESS WERE DRUNK], DESCRIPTIONS ARE LIMITED AND UNKNOWN HOW INJURIES WERE CAUSED BUT BELIEVED TO BE A PUNCH.				
Investigator action	20/05/2017 04:08		#P0018 HOUGHTON, L.	No	T1700415418 For action Closed
Log entry:	Assault has happend at the back door to the ground floor outside area. This maybe covered by CCTV. witness and victim were quite drunk and assault happend very quickly so very limited description passed. IC1 5'10 early 20s, T-shirt, white male.				
	***A1) Victim statement - PNB entry gained at this time only, Statement to be completed with aggravated if suspect can be ID from CCTV.				
	A2) Injury photos - completed and uploaded to ODIMS				
	***A3) Checks CCTV to ID offender				
	***A4) Medical consent				
Investigator action	21/05/2017 23:21		#P0018 HOUGHTON, L.	No	T1700415418 For action Closed
Log entry:	A3) CCTV checks completed by PC CONBOY. Nothing evidential found. Suspect is still unknwn and there is no possibility to ID suspect at this time. The door staff did not record an incident in there book or know of anything to have taken place.				
Investigator action	21/05/2017 23:58		#P0018 HOUGHTON, L.	No	T1700415418 For action Closed
Log entry:	A5) Victim updated - Currently no suspect can be identified. Awaited victims response before seeking filling decision.				
Sergeant review	29/05/2017 13:39		#P2671 GREEN, L.	No	T1700415418 For action Closed
Log entry:	SR Review - The victim in this case has been assaulted outside the Purple Turtle . There are no witnesses to this offence and No CCTV of the incident - There do not appear to be any further lines of enquiry. Suitable for filing once the victim has been updated.				
Investigator action	07/06/2017 12:11		#P0018 HOUGHTON, L.	No	T1700415418 For action Closed
Log entry:	A5) Voice mail left on victims mobile number explaining filing decision.				
	No lines of enquiry. Suspect not identified. Suitable for filling.				
File Occurrence	07/06/2017 12:12		#P0018 HOUGHTON, L.	No	T1700415418 For action Closed
Log entry:	Outcomes 11- 21 (general filing)				

Offence summary: (please detail)

Outcome requested and Final SR: please select appropriate outcome from list below

14 (Suspect not identified) - crime confirmed but aggd not supporting police action
1

The victim has been informed of outcome: Yes

The suspect has been informed of outcome: No

NFA added to suspect role: No

Task sent to ACR requesting outcome: (please detail)

Filing requested: Yes/No (if yes, please complete below)

All tasks completed and closed (except outcome request): Yes/No

Appropriate force class qualifier applied in finalisation: Yes/No

All relevant persons added to involved tab: Yes

Dom 5 scanned onto occurrence (where relevant): N/A

Correct OIC attached to police tab: Yes

All property returned/retained as appropriate: N/A

Occurrence enquiry log report

Thames Valley Police

Occurrence: 43170196620 Assault with Injury [8N] @05/07/2017 04:51 (THE PURPLE TURTLE PH,9, GUN STREET, READING, READING United Kingdom RG1 2JR (THE PURPLE TURTLE) (County: BERKSHIRE, Neighbourhood: EA ABBEY / BATTLE, LPA: READING)) (VICTIM HAS BEEN IN THE PURPLE TUR

Task status: All

Log type: All

Valid as of August 31, 2017 at 14:01

Printed by #C2116 KING, M.

Type	Entry time	Event time	Author	Link	Task
Investigator action	05/07/2017 04:52		#P0558 USHER, A.	No	
Log entry:	VICTIM HAS BEEN IN THE PURPLE TURTLE AND HAS BEEN PUNCHED TO THE FACE CAUSING A BLACK EYE.				
Investigator action	05/07/2017 05:18		#P0558 USHER, A.	No	T1700556310 For action Closed
Log entry:	<p>Ambulance have been called stating that they had two males with them on WESTERN ELMS AVENUE, both with injuries after being assaulted in the purple turtle.</p> <p>Officers debriefed [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] who stated they had both been punched to the face in an unprovoked attack in the main bar of PURPLE TURTLE at around 02.00. Both were punched to the floor. [REDACTED] left eye was swollen and starting to bruise. [REDACTED] suffered a cut to his right arm after falling onto glass on the floor which was bleeding heavily. Both males were intoxicated.</p> <p>CCTV has been viewed from PURPLE TURTLE which shows [REDACTED] standing at the bar and [REDACTED] on the dance floor [REDACTED] can be seen talking and waving something around towards someone off camera. He is then pushed by one of the suspects. [REDACTED] sees this and stands between the two males. As this is happening one of the other suspects walks behind the males and then punches [REDACTED] once to the face. The suspect then steps back and runs towards [REDACTED] hitting him in the face too. Both men fall to the floor. The suspect is then dragged away by door staff before running off.</p> <p>Door staff at the purple turtle have ID'D the three males from the ID scanner on the door which they reviewed after the offence.</p> <p>A1. Victim contact -- [REDACTED] was debriefed on scene.</p> <p>A2. Victim statement - Outstanding. [REDACTED] is willing to provide a statement but it will be taken at a more appropriate time when he is sober.</p> <p>A3. Witnesses - [REDACTED] witnessed the incident and was also assaulted.</p> <p>A4. Photo of injuries - DWR/2</p> <p>A5. CCTV - Complete. CCTV obtained and copied. ALU/2</p> <p>A6. Copies of the three suspects from the door scanner - Complete. ALU/1</p>				
Data Quality Team	06/07/2017 10:30		#T4085 BUTT, T.	No	
Log entry:	Officer Permission Team - check completed				
Investigator action	29/07/2017 02:33		#P0558 USHER, A.	No	T1700556310 For action Closed
Log entry:	I have spoke to [REDACTED] again who is now stating he does not want to make a complaint. He started he has spoke to [REDACTED] and they do not wish to take it any further due to them returning to SCOTLAND shortly. He said he could not remember what had happened as he was very drunk. i informed him that the offence had been captured on CCTV and appeared to				

be an unprovoked attack but he still declined to make a statement.

he does not know the offenders and stated him or [REDACTED] have not seen them since and believe they are from SHEFFIELD.

This can now be filed.

Victim/witness contact 29/07/2017 02:36 #P0558 USHER, A. No T1700556310 For action Closed

Log entry: VICTIM CONTACT CONTRACT

1. How often have we agreed to contact the victim? (Be specific) Not wanting to make a complaint. ??????????????????????

2. How would the victim like to be updated? (Phone, Email, Text etc.) As Above? ??????????????????????

3. Has the victim been informed of their rights under the victim code and been signposted to the Force Website or given a leaflet for more information? No

4. Has the Criminal Justice process (Including Court) been fully explained? Yes

5. Has the victim been offered an opportunity to make a VPS? No not making a complaint.

6. Has a VPS been provided? No

7. Have Special Measures been discussed? No

8. Is the Victim vulnerable and are they entitled to enhanced entitlements under the Victims code;

? Are they Vulnerable through Age, Physical or mental disability? No

? Are they intimidated by suspect, family or associates? No

? Are they a repeat victim? No

? What safeguarding and support measures have you put in place if Yes to the above?

????????????????????????????

9. Restorative Justice: Is the victim open to RJ and is there a realistic prospect of a successful RJ process (i.e. offender accepting responsibility for their actions etc)? Yes/No

? If the answer is ?yes? task RJ Referral on Niche. If the answer is ?no?, keep under review as the case progresses.

The contact contract should be revisited periodically to ensure we are meeting the victim?s needs and offering the correct level of service and support.

It is strongly advised to manage the continued victim contact through Outlook calendar reminder and essential that all communication is fully recorded within the OEL utilising the ?Victim / Witness contact? title

Sergeant review 30/07/2017 18:32 #P4457 MORGAN, K. No T1700556311 For action Closed

Log entry:

Offence summary: Victim was out with friends in Purple Turtle and got involved in conversation about football with a group of other males. The conversation went downhill and victim was punched to the face causing a black eye. The wound was superficial and did not require treatment. The suspects were identified however, the victim does not wish to support proceedings and will not give a statement. This matter can now be filed.

16 (Victim not supporting) ? suspect identified, victim not supporting, evidential difficulties

The victim has been informed of outcome: Yes

The suspect has been informed of outcome: Yes

NFA added to suspect role: Yes

Task sent to ACR requesting outcome: CODE 16 PLEASE

Filing requested: Yes

All tasks completed and closed (except outcome request): Yes

Appropriate force class qualifier applied in finalisation: Yes

All relevant persons added to involved tab: Yes

Dom 5 scanned onto occurrence (where relevant):/N/A

Correct OIC attached to police tab: Yes

All property returned/retained as appropriate: N/A

Occurrence enquiry log report

Thames Valley Police

Occurrence: 43170196620 Assault with Injury [8N] @05/07/2017 04:51 (THE PURPLE TURTLE PH,9, GUN STREET, READING, READING United Kingdom RG1 2JR (THE PURPLE TURTLE) (County: BERKSHIRE, Neighbourhood: EA ABBEY / BATTLE, LPA: READING)) (VICTIM HAS BEEN IN THE PURPLE TUR

Task status: All

Log type: All

Valid as of August 29, 2017 at 11:28
Printed by #C2116 KING, M.

Type	Entry time	Event time	Author	Link	Task
Investigator action	05/07/2017 04:52		#P0558 USHER, A.	No	
Log entry:	VICTIM HAS BEEN IN THE PURPLE TURTLE AND HAS BEEN PUNCHED TO THE FACE CAUSING A BLACK EYE.				
Investigator action	05/07/2017 05:18		#P0558 USHER, A.	No	T1700556310 For action Closed
Log entry:	<p>Ambulance have been called stating that they had two males with them on [REDACTED] AVENUE, both with injuries after being assaulted in the purple turtle.</p> <p>Officers debriefed [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] who stated they had both been punched to the face in an unprovoked attack in the main bar of PURPLE TURTLE at around 02.00. Both were punched to the floor. [REDACTED] left eye was swollen and starting to bruise. [REDACTED] suffered a cut to his right arm after falling onto glass on the floor which was bleeding heavily. Both males were intoxicated.</p> <p>CCTV has been viewed from PURPLE TURTLE which shows [REDACTED] standing at the bar and [REDACTED] on the dance floor. [REDACTED] can be seen talking and waving something around towards someone off camera. He is then pushed by one of the suspects. [REDACTED] sees this and stands between the two males. As this is happening one of the other suspects walks behind the males and then punches [REDACTED] once to the face. The suspect then steps back and runs towards [REDACTED] hitting him in the face too. Both men fall to the floor. The suspect is then dragged away by door staff before running off.</p> <p>Door staff at the purple turtle have ID'D the three males from the ID scanner on the door which they reviewed after the offence.</p> <p>A1. Victim contact -- [REDACTED] was debriefed on scene.</p> <p>A2. Victim statement - Outstanding. [REDACTED] is willing to provide a statement but it will be taken at a more appropriate time when he is sober.</p> <p>A3. Witnesses - [REDACTED] witnessed the incident and was also assaulted.</p> <p>A4. Photo of injuries - DWR/2</p> <p>A5. CCTV - Complete. CCTV obtained and copied. ALU/2</p> <p>A6. Copies of the three suspects from the door scanner - Complete. ALU/1</p>				
Data Quality Team	06/07/2017 10:30		#T4085 BUTT, T.	No	
Log entry:	Officer Permission Team - check completed				
Investigator action	29/07/2017 02:33		#P0558 USHER, A.	No	T1700556310 For action Closed
Log entry:	I have spoke to [REDACTED] again who is now stating he does not want to make a complaint. He started he has spoke to [REDACTED] and they do not wish to take it any further due to them returning to SCOTLAND shortly. He said he could not remember what had happened as he was very drunk. i informed him that the offence had been captured on CCTV and appeared to				

be an unprovoked attack but he still declined to make a statement.

he does not know the offenders and stated him or [REDACTED] have not seen them since and believe they are from SHEFFIELD.

This can now be filed.

Victim/witness contact 29/07/2017 02:36 #P0558 USHER, A. No T1700556310 For action Closed

Log entry: VICTIM CONTACT CONTRACT

1. How often have we agreed to contact the victim? (Be specific) Not wanting to make a complaint. ??????????????????????

2. How would the victim like to be updated? (Phone, Email, Text etc.) As Above? ??????????????????????

3. Has the victim been informed of their rights under the victim code and been signposted to the Force Website or given a leaflet for more information? No

4. Has the Criminal Justice process (Including Court) been fully explained? Yes

5. Has the victim been offered an opportunity to make a VPS? No not making a complaint.

6. Has a VPS been provided? No

7. Have Special Measures been discussed? No

8. Is the Victim vulnerable and are they entitled to enhanced entitlements under the Victims code;

? Are they Vulnerable through Age, Physical or mental disability? No

? Are they intimidated by suspect, family or associates? No

? Are they a repeat victim? No

? What safeguarding and support measures have you put in place if Yes to the above?

????????????????????

9. Restorative Justice: Is the victim open to RJ and is there a realistic prospect of a successful RJ process (i.e. offender accepting responsibility for their actions etc)? Yes/No

? If the answer is ?yes? task RJ Referral on Niche. If the answer is ?no?, keep under review as the case progresses.

The contact contract should be revisited periodically to ensure we are meeting the victim's needs and offering the correct level of service and support.

It is strongly advised to manage the continued victim contact through Outlook calendar reminder and essential that all communication is fully recorded within the OEL utilising the ?Victim / Witness contact? title

Sergeant review 30/07/2017 18:32 #P4457 MORGAN, K. No T1700556311 For action Closed

Log entry:

Offence summary: Victim was out with friends in Purple Turtle and got involved in conversation about football with a group of other males. The conversation went downhill and victim was punched to the face causing a black eye. The wound was superficial and did not require treatment. The suspects were identified however, the victim does not wish to support proceedings and will not give a statement. This matter can now be filed.

16 (Victim not supporting) ? suspect identified, victim not supporting, evidential difficulties

The victim has been informed of outcome: Yes
The suspect has been informed of outcome: Yes
NFA added to suspect role: Yes

Task sent to ACR requesting outcome: CODE 16 PLEASE
Filing requested: Yes
All tasks completed and closed (except outcome request): Yes
Appropriate force class qualifier applied in finalisation: Yes
All relevant persons added to involved tab: Yes
Dom 5 scanned onto occurrence (where relevant):/N/A
Correct OIC attached to police tab: Yes
All property returned/retained as appropriate: N/A